miR-18a promotes malignant progression by impairing microRNA biogenesis in nasopharyngeal carcinoma
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Dysregulation of microRNA (miRNA) biogenesis is implicated in cancer development and progression. Dicer and Drosha are established regulators of miRNA biogenesis. In this study, we used a miRNA array to evaluate the miRNA expression profiles in nasopharyngeal carcinoma (NPC) samples. The significance analysis of microarrays showed a global downregulation of miRNA expression in NPC samples compared with normal nasopharyngeal epithelial tissues. Notably, miR-18a, a member of the oncogenic miR-17–92 cluster, was upregulated in the NPC samples and ell lines. Clinical parameter studies showed that higher levels of miR-18a correlated with NPC advanced stage, lymph node metastasis, Epstein-Barr virus infection and a higher death rate from NPC, indicating oncogenic roles in NPC development. The expression levels of miR-18a and Dicer1 were inversely related in NPC tissues. Further studies demonstrated that miR-18a negatively regulated Dicer1 by binding to the 3′ untranslated regions of Dicer1. In vitro and in vivo biological function assays showed that miR-18a promoted the growth, migration and invasion of NPC cells by regulating Dicer1 expression, which caused the global downregulation of miRNA expression levels including miR-200 family and miR-143. Furthermore, we found that the epithelial mesenchymal transition marker E-cadherin and the oncogene K-Ras were aberrantly expressed after miR-18a transduction, and these alterations were directly induced by downregulation of the miR-200 family and miR-143. Collectively, our findings indicate that miR-18a plays an oncogenic role in the development of NPC by widespread downregulation of the miRNOMe and could be a potential therapeutic target for NPC.

Introduction

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are small non-coding RNAs that are 20–22 nucleotides in length. Since the first miRNA was identified in 1993, miRNAs have been widely reported to be involved in cancer initiation and development. In 2005, miRNAs’ expression profiling studies were performed in human samples including cancer tissues (1). Although several miRNAs are upregulated in specific tumours, widespread deregulation of miRNA expression has been found in human cancers (1–4). Of note, the upregulation of the miR-17–92 cluster in various types of cancer implied an oncogenic role in the occurrence and progression of cancer (5–7). However, miR-18a, a member of the miR-17–92 cluster, was instead reported to suppress cell proliferation in bladder cancer T24 cells (8). On the other hand, it was reported that ERalpha binds to c-MYC and in turn upregulates the expression of pre-miR-18a in an oestrogen-dependent manner, suggesting an oncogenic role for miR-18a in breast cancer (9). Recently, the diagnostic value of circulating miR-18a in plasma was reported for pancreatic cancer (10). Therefore, miR-18a may play different roles in various types of cancer through different targeted genes.

Research on nasopharyngeal carcinoma (NPC) has mirrored other cancer research programs. The research has focused largely on molecular defects including the dysregulation of miRNAs. To date, several miRNAs have been shown to target specific miRNAs to regulate the progression of NPC. miR-216b (11), miR-218 (12), miR-26a/b (13,14), miR-10b (15), let-7 (16), miR-141 (17) and miR-200a (18) have been shown to have tumour-suppressive functions in NPC. Not surprisingly, Epstein-Barr virus (EBV)-encoded miRNAs have oncogenic properties (19–21). In addition, other miRNAs such as miR-155, enhanced by EBV-encoded LMP1 and LMP2A, are associated with poor prognosis in NPC patients (22). miRNA expression profiling also confirmed the widespread downregulation of miRNAs in NPC samples compared with the histologically normal nasopharyngeal epithelial tissue (23–25). In these miRNA expression profile studies, miR-18a was shown to be upregulated. Our previous data also demonstrated that miR-18a showed significant upregulation during NPC progression (26), suggesting an oncogenic role for miR-18a in NPC.

Dicer is a member of the double-stranded RNA-specific ribonuclease III family that is required for RNA processing and degradation (27). The expression of Dicer in different cancers varies (28). Dicer is over-expressed in prostate cancer (29); however, Dicer also appears to play a tumour-suppressive role. Cancer specimens with both high Dicer expression and high Drosha expression are associated with increased median survival in ovarian cancer patients (30). Our previous work demonstrated a significant downregulation of Dicer in NPC biopsies compared with normal counterparts (31).

In this study, we aimed to examine the roles of miR-18a in the progression of NPC and, importantly, to clarify the mechanism by which miR-18a promotes malignant tumour progression. Efforts to interpret the association of miR-18a and Dicer are included in this report.

Materials and methods

Cell lines

NPC cell lines including 5-8F, 6-10B, HK1, HNE1, HNE2, HONE1,CNE1,CNE2 and 293FT were cultured in RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with penicillin G (100U/ml), streptomycin (100mg/ml) and 10% foetal calf serum. Immortalized normal nasopharynx epithelial NP69 cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with penicillin G (100U/ml), streptomycin (100mg/ml), 10% foetal calf serum and growth factors. The cells were grown at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO2 and were routinely sub-cultured using 0.25% (w/v) trypsin–ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid solution.

Vectors, oligonucleotides and antibodies

Synthetic miRNA mimics and 2′-O-methyl-modified inhibitors ‘antagomiRs’ were purchased from GenePharma Company, Shanghai, China. An miR-insensitive Dicer1 construct was purchased from Geneconcoa Company, Guangzhou, China. The miR-18a, miR-18a inhibitor and scramble control lentivirus were purchased from SanBio Company, Shanghai, China. Rabbit–anti-human E-cadherin antibody, rabbit–anti-human K-Ras antibody and
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rabbit-anti-human Dicer1 antibody were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology Inc.

Quantitative real-time PCR

Expression of the miRNAs was evaluated using SYBR green quantitative real-time reverse transcription (RT–PCR) (Takara, Japan). Total RNA was extracted using Trizol® reagent (Invitrogen) from cells and samples. The individual microRNA qPCR Quantitation kit was purchased from GenePharma Company, China. The quantitative PCR (qPCR) was performed according to the instructions. The qPCR cycle was 98°C for 2 min and 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 s and 60°C for 30 s. The final melting curve analysis (60°–95°C) was included. The standard curve was produced with slopes at approximately –3.32 (~100% efficiency); the miRNA PCR quantification used the 2ΔΔCt method against U6 for normalization. Messenger RNA PCR quantification used the 2ΔΔCt method against glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) for normalization. The data are representative of the means of three experiments. RT–PCR primers are listed in Supplementary Table 1, available at Carcinogenesis Online.

MTT assay

The cells were seeded at a density of 2 × 10^4 cells/well in 96-well plates 24 h before transfection. The cells were incubated in growth medium for 24 h. A volume of 20 µl 10 mM (4,5-dihydro-2′-O-methyl) thymidine-2′-O-(4-methylthiomethyl) bronic acid and (MTT) solution (5mg/ml) was added to each well. The plate was incubated at 37°C for an additional 4 h. The media were removed and 150 µl of dimethyl sulfoxide was added to each well. The plates were shaken for 10 mins to dissolve the MTT formazan crystals. The optical density of each well was determined with a scanning multi-well spectrophotometer at a wavelength of 490nm. The experiment was repeated three times; six parallel samples were measured each time.

Wound closure assay

The cells were transfected with the synthetic miRNA mimics or the 2′-O-methyl-modified inhibitors antagoniR-18a and grown to 90% confluency in a six-well dish. A wound was created using a sterile 10 µl pipette tip followed by a wash with 1× phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) to remove detached cells. Then, the cells were cultured in medium with 2% serum, and migration at the corresponding wound site was documented using a microscope (Nikon) at different time points (0, 24 and 48 h).

Transwell migration assay

Before cell seeding, Corning Costar Transwell 24-well plates (8 µm pores; Corning) were coated with Matrigel (BD) and placed in the cell culture hood for 1 h at 37°C. A total of 1 × 10^5 cells was seeded in the inserts after transfections and cultured in medium with 2% serum. Normal growth medium was placed in the bottom wells. The cells were then allowed to migrate for 24 or 48 h. The migrated cells were fixed with 100% methanol for 1 min and allowed to air dry. The invasive cells on the lower surface of the membrane were stained by dipping the inserts into a staining solution for 20 min and the stained cells were counted.

Western blot assay

The protein used for western blotting was extracted using Radio-Immunoprecipitation Assay Buffer (RIPA buffer) containing protease inhibitors (50 mM Tris, pH 7.4; 100 mM NaCl; 1% nonidet P-40; 0.5% deoxycholic acid; 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulphate; 10 µg/ml aprotinin; 10 µg/ml leupeptin; 1 mM phenylmethylsulphonyl fluoride). The proteins were quantified using the BCA™ Protein Assay Kit (Pierce). The western blot system was established using a BioRad Bis-Tris Gel system according to the manufacturer’s instructions (BioRad). The primary antibodies were prepared in 5% blocking buffer. The primary antibody (against Dicer1, E-cadherin or K-Ras) was allowed to air dry. The invasive cells on the lower surface of the membrane were stained by dipping the inserts into a staining solution for 20 min and the stained cells were counted.

Luciferase assay

 Luciferase activity was measured using the Dual-Glo luciferase assay system (Promega). Renilla luciferase activity was used to normalize the corresponding firefly luciferase activity.

Lentiviral vectors and cell transduction

HK1 cells stably expressing the GFP-luciferase were established using the ViraPower™ Lentiviral Expression System (Invitrogen) as described previously (32). Briefly, the coding sequence of the GFP fusion with luciferase was subcloned into pLenti6/V5-D-TOPO (Invitrogen). pLenti6/V5-DTOPO-GFP-luciferase and the ViraPower™ Packaging Mix (Invitrogen) were co-transfected using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) into the 293FT cell line to produce a lentiviral stock. Forty-eight hours post-transfection, the virus-containing supernatant was harvested by collecting the medium. The viral particles were purified by ultracentrifugation through a 20% sucrose cushion.

For infection of HK1 cells, the cells were cultured in six-well plates and when the culture reached 80% confluence, the concentrated lentivirus was added to the culture dishes. The stable-expressed GFP-luciferase HK1 cells were sorted using fluorescence-activated cell sorting (MoFlo, Beckman-Coulter).

For the Dicer1 hairpin shRNA construct, oligos (5′-UCCAGAGCU GCCUCAAGCTTT-3′ and 5′-UCCUGAGGGAGCU AGGUGAAG-3′) were designed to construct the lentivirus gene transfer vectors (4). The double-stranded shRNA oligo was cloned into the BLOCK-iTM lentivirus vector. The lentivirus vector was also cotransfected with the lentivirus package plasmids into 293FT cells. The infection procedures were described above.

Animal experiment and in vivo imaging of xenotransplanted NPC

The stable knockdown of miR-18a HK1 cells was achieved through infection of a lentivirus with miR-18a or miR-18a inhibitor into the GFP-luciferase HK1 cells. The cells were used for subcutaneous and metastatic tumour mouse models. Animal experiments followed protocols approved by Central South University. The cells were trypsinized with 0.25 M ethylenediamine-tetraacetic acid and 0.05% trypsin (Invitrogen) on the day of transplantation and resuspended in PBS. The cells were injected subcutaneously or intravenously into nude mice. The animals were examined at different time points after the injection by measuring the size of subcutaneous tumour or by using the imaging system IVIS Luma II. α-Luciferin was administered to each mouse by intraperitoneal injection at a dose of 150 mg/kg and the mice were anesthetized for 5 min in a chamber with 3% isoflurane. The mice were then placed on using a 20 cm field of view and an exposure time of 3 mins (3-min exposure; f-stop,1; binning,16; field of view,15 cm). The bioluminescence values were calculated by measuring photons/s/cm 2/sr in the region of interest.
Clinical specimens
Human NPC specimens were collected from the Xiangya Second Hospital, Central South University, China. The patients were informed regarding the sample collection and they signed informed consent forms. The collections and use of tissue samples were approved by the Ethical Review Committee of Xiangya Second Hospital.

Statistical analysis
Survival data were analysed using Kaplan–Meier analyses. A log-rank test and χ²-test were used to determine the difference among survival curves according to miR-18a status. The data including qRT–PCR and in vivo experiments were represented as the mean ± deviation. The Mann–Whitney U-test was used to compare the values of expression of Dicer1 and miR-18a at different NPC stages. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used for comparison of tumour volumes between groups. The intensity values from the microRNA array were analysed by significance analysis of microarray (SAM). The statistical analyses were performed using SPSS11.0. All P values were two-sided, and P values less than 0.05 were considered to be significant. Cronbach’s alpha was calculated using SPSS to evaluate the agreement between two pathologists.

Results
Comparison between normal and NPC samples revealed global repression in miRNA expression
To investigate the global miRNAs expression profiles in nasopharyngeal carcinoma, we performed a miRNA microarray analysis of 12 NPC cases and 6 control samples of healthy nasopharyngeal epithelial tissues. Significance was determined using SAM (Figure 1A). As shown in Figure 1B, hierarchical clustering revealed two branches of non-random partitioning of the samples, normal and cancer samples, in which the observed distinct patterns of miRNA expression may reflect the mechanisms of NPC tumorigenesis. Although the expression levels of certain miRNAs were upregulated or unchanged, most of the miRNAs (141 out of 174) were downregulated in NPC samples compared with normal samples, implying an impairment of miRNA biogenesis process (Figure 1A).

The expression levels of Dicer1 and miR-18a are inversely related
As Dicer1 is an important established regulator of miRNA processing, the expression level of Dicer1 was evaluated using quantitative real-time PCR in 38 NPC samples and 10 normal nasopharyngeal epithelial tissues. We found that with the progression of NPC, the expression levels of Dicer1 decreased (Figure 1C, P < 0.01, two-sided Student’s t-test). The expression levels of Dicer1 were clearly downregulated in NPC cell lines compared with the normal nasopharyngeal epithelial cell line NP69 (Figure 1D). Immunohistochemistry was performed on 90 NPC samples and 23 normal control samples (Figure 1G). High expression levels of Dicer1 were detected in 82% of normal nasopharyngeal epithelial tissue (19 out of 23); significantly downregulated expression levels of Dicer1 were observed in 72% of NPC samples (65 out of 90).

Despite the widespread repression of miRNA in NPC, we noticed that the miR-17–92 cluster was upregulated in NPC samples compared with normal nasopharyngeal tissues (Figure 1B). We found that the expression levels of miR-18a, a member of the miR-17–92 cluster, were increased in the different clinical stages of NPC compared with the normal nasopharyngeal tissue. The expression levels of miR-18a were then confirmed using quantitative real-time PCR in the above-mentioned 38 NPC samples and 10 normal nasopharyngeal epithelial tissues. We found that with the progression of NPC, the expression levels of miR-18a increased. The higher levels of miR-18a significantly correlated with advancing stage (Figure 1E; P < 0.01, Mann–Whitney U-test). The expression levels of miR-18a were also examined in NPC cell lines, showing that miR-18a was clearly upregulated in NPC cell lines compared with the normal nasopharyngeal epithelial cell line NP69 (Figure 1F). As shown, the expression levels of miR-18a were inversely related to the expression levels of Dicer1 in NPC samples and cell lines. The normal nasopharynx epithelial cell line NP69 showed high levels of Dicer1 expression and low levels of miR-18a expression, whereas the NPC cell lines showed relatively low levels of Dicer1 expression and high levels of miR-18a.

In situ hybridization of miR-18a was then performed in 168 NPC samples. Collectively, the NPC specimens showed increased levels of miR-18a expression (Figure 1G). Staining was scored according to intensity and proportion (1.0–25%; 2.25–50%; 3.5–75%; 4.76–100%). The sum of intensity and percentage counts was used as the final score. We considered <8 as low expression and ≥8 as high expression (Table I). In the NPC patient samples, we also observed an inverse correlation of miR-18a and Dicer1 expression levels. Higher levels of miR-18a correlated with advanced stage, lymph node metastasis and EBV infection (P < 0.01) but were not associated with age and gender (Table I). The assessed histological scores were in agreement in 90% of the samples. The cronbach’s alpha statistics for concordance was 0.903 (P < 0.0001). To determine the survival differences according to the miR-18a expression levels, Kaplan–Meier plots were constructed (n = 68). Increased survival was associated with low expression levels of miR-18a (Figure 1H; hazard ratio, 0.4147; 95% CI, 0.2208–0.7791; P = 0.0062). These results indicated that high death rates from NPC were associated with high levels of miR-18a (P < 0.01).

miR-18a promotes cell growth, migration and invasion
Because the overexpression of miR-18a implied an oncogenic role in NPC, we then wanted to elucidate whether miR-18a has an oncogenic effect on NPC. We performed in vitro and in vivo experiments to determine the roles of miR-18a in NPC development including the effects on cell growth, migration and invasion. miRNAs function was tested by transfecting NPC cells with miR-18a mimics or the inhibitors antagoniMIR-18a (2'-O-methyl-modified RNA oligonucleotides complementary to miR-18a sequences). Over-expression of miR-18a mimics obviously increased cell proliferation, migration and invasion. In contrast, the miR-18a inhibitor inhibited the growth and invasion of NPC cells (Figure 2A). The experiments were repeated in different NPC cell lines (5-8F and 6-10B; Supplementary Figure 1, available at Carcinogenesis Online). The wound-healing assay showed that miR-18a promoted the migration and mobility of HK1 cells. Over-expression of miR-18a using mimics clearly promoted wound gap closure in a time-dependent manner. Knocking down miR-18a using chemically synthesized miR-18a inhibitors delayed wound gap closure (Figure 2B). The transwell migration assay showed that miR-18a increased invasion ability. Representative figures of the migrated stained cells are shown. The cells in five randomly selected areas were counted and statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 11.0. The data are shown as the mean ± standard deviation (SD; Figure 2C, *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001; two-sided Student’s t-test). The experiment was repeated in three NPC cell lines (HK1, 5-8F and 6-10B). The results of the other two cell lines are shown in Supplementary Figure 1 available at Carcinogenesis Online. Stable miR-18a over-expression and suppression in HK1 cells were established using lentivirus-based delivery. The expression of miR-18a in these stably transfected HK1 cells was verified using real-time PCR (Supplementary Figure 2, available at Carcinogenesis Online). The in vivo roles of miR-18a in cell growth and migration were assessed through tumour formation following subcutaneous or intravenous injection into nude mice with HK1 cells that had miR-18a either stably over-expressed or suppressed. The nude mice formed larger subcutaneous tumours in mice receiving cells over-expressing miR-18a compared with the control group (n = 4–S/group; P = 0.02, ANOVA, Figure 2D). The mobility and metastasis of cells in vivo were examined using the IVIS imaging system (Xenogen) at different time points after the intravenous injection. High Luciferase activity was observed in the lung and long bone in the mice receiving the cells over-expressing miR-18a, whereas reduced luciferase activity was observed in the miR-18a-suppressed group (n = 5/group; P < 0.05, ANOVA, Figure 2E). The lungs with metastases were resected and processed for haematoxylin and eosin staining. As shown in Figure 2E-III, miR-18a over-expression increased the metastatic colonization.
Fig. 1. Evaluation of the miRNA expression profiles from NPC samples revealed the global repression of miRNA expression. (A) The miRNA microarray analysis showed that 174 miRNAs were differentially expressed between NPC samples and healthy control nasopharyngeal samples. The SAM plot sheet identified the significantly differentially expressed miRNAs in the NPC samples. The scatter plot of the observed scores versus the expected scores is shown. The solid line indicated the line for observed scores = expected scores, where the observed relative difference was identical to the expected relative difference. The dotted lines are drawn at a distance Δ = 0.7 from the solid line. The cutoff for 2-fold change is indicated by the dashed lines. The red, green and black dots

---

**Notes:**

- **A:** SAM Plotsheet
  - Significant: 174
  - Median number of false positives: 14.18
  - False Discovery Rate (%): 8.15

- **B:** Heatmap and dendrogram showing miRNA expression levels.

- **C:** Scatter plot for relative Dicer expression normalized to GAPDH, showing different expression levels in normal and NPC samples.

- **D:** Bar graph for relative Dicer expression in NPC cell lines.

- **E:** Scatter plot for relative miR-18a expression normalized to U6.

- **F:** Bar graph for relative miR-18a expression in NPC cell lines.

- **G:** IHC and ISH images showing LNA-scr-miR, Dicer1, and miR-18a expression in NPC and normal samples.

- **H:**Survival analysis showing miR-18a expression levels and survival rates.
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Table 1. The correlation of clinical and pathological features with miR-18a expression in 168 NPC patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical and pathological features</th>
<th>miR-18a</th>
<th>χ²</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>+High ≥8</td>
<td>33.077</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.904</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-low &lt;8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (n = 168)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male (127)</td>
<td>88</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female (41)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥50 (99)</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>0.639</td>
<td>0.424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;50 (69)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymph node metastasis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes (109)</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>34.245</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No (59)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical stage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-II (72)</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>28.084</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III-IV (96)</td>
<td>82</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBV/VCA-IgA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥1: 10+ (139)</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>33.077</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥1: 10+ (29)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Comparing stage I-II with stage III-IV.
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Dicer1 is a direct target of miR-18a and miR-18a plays oncogenic roles through Dicer1

Previous research has shown that Dicer1 is a potential target of miR-18a (8). The schematic diagram of miR-18a binding sites in the 3′ untranslated regions (UTR) of Dicer1 was shown in Figure 3A-I. To further verify the targeting of Dicer1 by miR-18a, reporter constructs in which the 3′ UTR of Dicer1, either wild type or mutant in the miR-18a binding sites, were cloned downstream of the luciferase open reading frame. miR-18a or control miRNA was co-transfected with the luciferase constructs. As shown in Figure 3A-II, transfection with miR-18a mimics led to a significant decrease in luciferase activity compared with the miRNA control. In contrast, luciferase activities of mutant 3′ UTR remained unchanged in miR-18a over-expressing cells. Furthermore, western blot analysis showed that over-expression of miR-18a suppressed the endogenous Dicer1 expression in the NPC cell lines HK1 and 5-8F, whereas blocking miR-18a resulted in upregulation of endogenous Dicer1 expression (Figure 3B).

We then investigated whether the oncogenic roles of miR-18a were dependent on Dicer1 expression. We transfected a Dicer1 construct that is miRNA insensitive into the NPC cell line HK1 followed by transfection of the miR-18a mimics. Over-expression of Dicer1 was confirmed by western blot analysis (Supplementary Figure 3A, available at Carcinogenesis Online). The transfection of miRNA-insensitive Dicer1 notably decrease the promoting effect on cell viability, invasion and mobility induced by miR-18a, suggesting the promoting effect of miR-18a on NPC cell lines was dependent on Dicer1 (Figure 3C-I; Figure 3D). We also designed an shRNA that targeted Dicer1, which showed a potent inhibitory effect on Dicer1 expression (Supplementary Figure 3B, available at Carcinogenesis Online). When an miR-18a inhibitor was transduced into cells with knockdown of Dicer1, the miR-18a inhibitor did not show the anti-tumour effects shown above (Figure 3C-II; Figure 3E). These results supported the hypothesis that miR-18a executes an oncogenic effect on NPC cells, at least partly, through downregulating the expression of Dicer1.

We then investigated whether Dicer1 contributed to the development of NPC. Knockdown of Dicer1 in NPC cells resulted in clearly enhanced cell growth and cell mobility (Figure 3C-II; Figure 3E) by the upregulation of E-cadherin expression and downregulation of K-Ras expression levels (Figure 4B and 4E, lane 2). We then examined the regulatory roles of miR-18a on E-cadherin and K-Ras in HK1 cells. As shown in Figure 4A and 4D, we found that miR-18a downregulated the expression levels of E-cadherin and upregulated expression of K-Ras. The over-expression of miR-sensitive Dicer1 significantly reversed the expression patterns of the above molecules, which suggested that miR-18a regulates the expression levels through inhibition of Dicer1 expression (Figure 4B and 4E). In contrast, suppression of miR-18a resulted in clear upregulation of E-cadherin expression and downregulation of K-Ras expression, which were predicted to be the consequence of Dicer1 upregulation. The lentivirus-mediated knockdown of Dicer1 reversed the effects of antagomiR-18a, suggesting that miR-18a affected the expression of E-cadherin and K-Ras through the regulation of Dicer1 (Figure 4C and 4F).

Using the qRT–PCR, we found that miR-18a significantly downregulated the expression levels of E-cadherin and upregulated the expression levels of vimentin, ZEB1, ZEB2 and fibronectin, which are the markers indicative of epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT). This regulatory effect was dependent on Dicer1 (Figure 4G–K). Consistent with the molecular changes, the cells’ morphology after over-expression of miR-18a also showed the change of epithelial-like cells into fibroblast-like cells (Figure 4M). The fluorescent immunohistochemistry of E-cadherin also confirmed that over-expression of miRNA-18a mimics reduced the expression of E-cadherin (Figure 4N).

The global downregulations of miRNAs by miR-18a through Dicer

As the biogenesis of miRNA depends on cleavage by the ribonuclease III enzyme Dicer, miR-18a may change miRNA expression profiles by targeting Dicer1. We therefore performed an miRNA microarray represented upregulated, downregulated and unchanged miRNAs, respectively. From the SAM plot sheet, the number of significantly upregulated miRNAs was 33, and the number of significantly downregulated miRNAs was 141 with a false discovery rate of 8.15%. (B) Unsupervised hierarchical clustering indicated the existence of two distinct branches: normal and cancer samples. The miRNA microarray analysis showed that 174 miRNAs were differentially expressed between NPC and normal control nasopharyngeal samples. The comparative Ct (2ΔΔCt) method was used to determine the fold change in the expression levels of Dicer1.

The expression was normalized to human GAPDH. The expression of Dicer1 was lower in different NPC stages compared with normal counterparts. The data are shown as the mean ± standard error mean (*P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001, two-sided Student’s t-test). (D) Real-time RT–PCR analysis of Dicer1 was performed in the NPC cell lines and the immortalized nasopharyngeal epithelial cells NP69. The expression was normalized to human GAPDH. The expression of Dicer1 was lower in NPC cell lines compared with the immortalized nasopharyngeal epithelial cells NP69. The data are presented as the mean ± SD of three replicates. (E) Validation of microarray data was performed using real-time RT–PCR. Real-time RT–PCR analysis of miR-18a was performed in the above-mentioned 38 NPC and 10 control normal nasopharyngeal samples. The comparative Ct (2ΔΔCt) method was used to determine the fold change in the expression levels of miR-18a.

The expression was normalized to human U6 snRNA. Expression of miR-18a was higher in different NPC stages compared with normal counterparts. The data are shown as the mean ± standard error mean (*P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001, two-sided Student’s t-test). (F) Real-time RT–PCR analysis of miR-18a was performed in NPC cell lines and the immortalized nasopharyngeal epithelial cell lines NP69. Compared with the immortalized nasopharyngeal epithelial cells NP69, the expression of miR-18a was clearly upregulated in the NPC cell lines including 5-8F, 6-10B, HNE1, CNE1, CNE2, HONE1, HK1 and C666-1. The expression of miR-18a was normalized to U6. The data are presented as the mean ± SD of 3 replicates. (G) Dicer1 and miR-18a were detected in NPC tissues. Haematoxylin and eosin stains were used to counterstain. LNA-scr-miR was used as a negative control probe. Representative images are shown (100 X). (H) Kaplan–Meier curves are shown for patients according to tumour expression of miR-18a. The differences among the survival curves are shown. NPC death was significantly associated with the expression of miR-18a. Lower overall patient survival (n = 68) was associated with higher expression of miR-18a (P < 0.01).
miR-18a promoted tumour cell growth and invasion in vitro. (A) miR-18a promoted tumour cell growth. The MTT cell viability assay showed that miR-18a increased cell viability. The MTT assay was performed after transfection of miR-18a mimics or miR-18a inhibitor into the NPC cell lines HK1. Over-expression of miR-18a resulted in evident proliferation of HK1. The miR-18a inhibitor significantly inhibited the growth of HK1 cells. The data represent the mean values of three experiments, each performed in triplicates. The data are shown as the mean ± SD. (B) miR-18a promoted tumour cell invasion in vitro. The wound-healing assay showed that miR-18a promoted the migration and mobility of HK1 cells. Overexpression of miR-18a using mimics clearly promoted wound gap closure in a time-dependent manner. Knocking down miR-18a using chemically synthesized miR-18a inhibitors delayed wound gap closure. (C) The transwell migration assay showed that miR-18a increased invasion ability. Representative figures of the migrated stained cells are shown. The cells in five randomly selected areas were counted and statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 11.0. The data are shown as the mean ± SD. (**P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001; two-sided Student’s t-test). The experiment was repeated in three NPC cell lines (HK1, 5-8F and 6-10B). The results of the other two cell lines are shown in Supplementary Figure 1, available at Carcinogenesis Online. (D), miR-18a promotes tumour cell growth and invasion in vivo. I: Nude mice were subcutaneously injected with lentivirus-mediated miR-18a-over-expressed or -suppressed of HK1 cells. II: The tumours excised from every group. The size of the metastatic nodules was calculated from the scatter diagram depicting the number of metastatic nodules in 4–5 slides per group. The size of the metastatic nodules was calculated from the scatter diagram depicting the number of metastatic nodules in 4–5 slides per group. III: Representative images are shown of haematoxylin and eosin staining of lung sections. Haematoxylin and eosin staining was performed to detect the metastatic nodules in the lung. Over-expression of miR-18a increased the metastatic colonization. Black dotted circles indicate the metastatic foci. The scatter diagram depicts the number of metastatic nodules in 4–5 slides from every group. The size of the metastatic nodules was calculated from the diameters estimated using reticule equipped in the microscope.

Discussion

A global downregulation of miRNA levels is among the most common molecular alterations in cancer, and this downregulation was also observed in NPC samples (23,24). It is worth noting that certain miRNAs are upregulated in cancer; generally, the miRNAs that are upregulated in cancer have oncoenic properties. Notably, the upregulated miRNAs that target Dicer1 may alter the overall biogenesis of miRNAs, resulting in the progression of cancer through a complex interaction of miRNAs and their downstream target genes, and the feedback loop certainly increases the complexity of the miRNA profiles. Among the upregulated miRNAs resulting from the miRNA array of NPC samples (NCBI, GEO:GSE32906), miR-18a/b were predicted to target the 3′ UTR of Dicer1. miR-18a was confirmed to target Dicer 3′ UTR using luciferase activity and western blot assays. Over-expression of miR-18a resulted in the overall downregulation of miRNAs (78%), which could be restored by the forced expression of Dicer. Verified by functional studies such as MTT, migration and tumour formation assays in vitro and in vivo, miR-18a was shown to function as a master regulator of the miRNAs that target the process of miRNAs biogenesis: alters overall expression levels of miRNAs and
Fig. 3. Dicer1 is a direct target gene of miR-18a. (A) I: A schematic representation of the 3′ UTR of Dicer1. The red bars show the predicted miR-18a binding sites in the 3′ UTR of Dicer1. The mature miR-18a sequence aligned to the target sites is shown. The evolutionary conservation in the seed sequence between Pan troglodytes (Ptr), Mouse (Mmu) and Human (Hsa) is shown in red highlights. II: Luciferase activity assay. The reporter constructs are shown in which the 3′ UTR of Dicer with either wild-type or mutant (LUX-Dicer 3′-WT or LUX-Dicer 3′-MUT) miR-18a binding sites was cloned downstream of the luciferase open reading frame. HK1 cells were co-transfected with the luciferase construct and miR-18a mimics or control miRNA. The Renilla construct was also co-transfected as an internal control. Luciferase activity was normalized to Renilla luciferase activity. The data are presented as the mean ± SD of two experiments with six replicates each (Student’s t-test, *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001). (B) miR-18a downregulated the expression of Dicer1. Western blot analyses of Dicer1 were performed 48 h after transfection of the miR-18a mimics and the miR-18a inhibitor (2′-O-methyl-modified RNA oligonucleotides complementary to miR-18a sequences). GAPDH was used as an internal control. These experiments were repeated in different NPC cell lines, I: HK1; II: 5-8F. (C) miR-18a promoted cell growth and migration in vitro through Dicer1. I: The MTT cell viability assay showed that miR-18a promoted cell viability through Dicer1. An MTT assay was performed after cotransfection of the miR-18a mimics and the Dicer1 construct or control plasmid into the NPC cell line HK1. Dicer1 transfection reduced the cell proliferation induced by miR-18a. The data represent the mean values of three experiments, each performed in triplicates. The data are shown as the mean ± SD. II: An MTT assay was performed after cotransfection of the miR-18a inhibitor and the Dicer1 RNAi construct or scramble into the NPC cell line HK1. The growth-suppressive effect of the miR-18a inhibitor was clearly attenuated when endogenous Dicer1 was knocked down. The data represent the mean values of three experiments, each performed in triplicates. The data are shown as the mean ± SD. (D) Transwell migration assays showed that miR-18a increased cell invasive ability through Dicer1. Representative figures show the stained, migrated cells. Dicer1 transfection clearly reduced the cell invasion induced by miR-18a. The cells in five randomly selected areas were counted and statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 11.0. The data are shown as the mean ± SD. (*P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001; two-sided Student’s t-test).
Fig. 4. Dicer1 is involved in the miR-18a-induced expression changes of E-cadherin and K-Ras in HK1 cells. (A) miR-18a downregulated the expression of E-cadherin. Transfection of miR-18a mimics caused the downregulated expression of E-cadherin compared with the miRNA control. miR-18a inhibitor caused the upregulation of E-cadherin. (B) miR-18a downregulated the expression of E-cadherin through Dicer1. miR-18a induction alone downregulated the expression of E-cadherin (lane 3), whereas cotransfection of miR-18a and Dicer1 partly reverse the inhibitory effect on expression of E-cadherin (lane 1). (C) miR-18a inhibitor upregulated the expression of E-cadherin (lane 3), whereas knockdown of Dicer1 led to a reduction in the effect of the miR-18a inhibitor (lane 1). (D) miR-18a upregulated the expression of K-Ras. Transfection of miR-18a mimics upregulated the expression of oncogenic K-Ras and miR-18a inhibitor clearly downregulated the expression of K-Ras (lane 3), whereas cotransfection of miR-18a and Dicer1 partly rescued miR-18a-induced overexpression of K-Ras (lane 1). (E) The miR-18a inhibitor downregulated the expression of K-Ras (lane 3), whereas knockdown of Dicer1 partly reversed effect of the miR-18a inhibitor (lane 1). Densitometer tracing values of each band are shown. A GAPDH antibody was used to normalize the densitometer values to account for differences in loading and transfer efficiencies. (G–K) Quantitative RT–PCR showed that miR-18a significantly downregulated the expression of E-cadherin and upregulated the expression of vimentin, ZEB1, ZEB2 and fibronectin, which are the markers indicative of the EMT. Dicer1 rescued the expression of E-cadherin and downregulated the expression of vimentin, ZEB1, ZEB2 and fibronectin. (L) Quantitative RT–PCR showed that miR-18a significantly upregulated the expression of K-Ras. Dicer1 reversed the overexpression of K-Ras. PCR quantification used the $\Delta\Delta Ct$ method against GAPDH for normalization. The data are representative of the means of three experiments. The bars represent the relative expression change compared with the negative control. (M) Transfection of miR-18a mimics led to the morphologic change of epithelial-like cells into the fibroblast-like cells. The arrow indicates the fibroblast-like cells (200 X). (N) An immunofluorescent assay was performed to detect the expression of E-cadherin; representative fields are shown. The sections were incubated with E-cadherin antibody. I: The miR-18a mimics clearly reduced E-cadherin expression on the HK1 cell surface, whereas the miR-18a inhibitor enhanced E-cadherin expression on the HK1 cell surface (III).
potentially affects key gene expression levels estimated to be regulated by miRNome.

miRNAs regulate the expression of hundreds of target genes. It is predicted that miRNAs preferentially interact with genes that are central to highly connected networks (35). miR-10b, miR-9 and members of miR-200 family have been reported to play critical roles in EMT or EMT-related events (36–38). One of the most striking types of metastasis initiation functions is the EMT. EMT occurs at the invasive front
of tumours whereby cells lose E-cadherin expression, detach, invade and break down the basement membrane. Our study demonstrated that miR-18a transduction drove morphogenetic changes through repression of the cell–cell adhesion protein E-cadherin. To elucidate the mechanisms of miR-18a-induced repression of E-cadherin, we verified the data obtained from the miRNA microarray showing that induction of miR-18a resulted in a global downregulation of miRNAs (Figure 5A and SB). The selected miRNAs included miR-200 family, miR-143, miR-29a/b and miR-34a/c. The quantitative real-time PCR for individual miRNA were performed to verify miRNA expression levels after miR-18a transduction. miR-200a, miR-200b, miR-200c and miR-429, which are the members of the miR-200 family, were significantly downregulated by miR-18a expression. The reduced expression was reversed by forced Dicer1 expression, suggesting that miR-18a downregulates the expression of the miRNA-200 family through Dicer1 and implying a role for miR-18a in cancer metastasis. Notably, miR-143 was dramatically downregulated by miR-18a, as demonstrated by the miRNA array. The downregulation of miR-143 by miR-18a and the restored expression by Dicer1 was confirmed using quantitative real-time PCR. As K-Ras is a putative target gene of miR-143, miR-18a may modulate K-Ras through miR-143, which was confirmed using western blot analysis. From the data obtained, we presumed that miR-18a influences numerous genes involved in metastasis initiation, progression and colonization.

Most recently, we noticed the relationship of Dicer1 and NPC development. It was previously reported that miR-BART6-5p RNAs encoded by EBV genome silence Dicer1 through multiple target sites located in the 3’ UTR of Dicer1 mRNA (39). Our group found a significantly low expression level of Dicer1 in 251 NPC cases (31). The influence of Dicer1 in tumour cells has been studied with controversial results among different cancer types. In several types of cancers such as lung, breast and ovarian cancer, reduced expression levels of Dicer1 was observed and were associated with poor prognosis (40–43). However, in contrast, strong expression of Dicer predicted poor prognosis in patients with colorectal cancer and cutaneous melanoma (43,44). Another report showed that the mRNA levels of Dicer1 were significantly augmented in stage III compared with stage II tumours in colorectal cancer (45). In contrast, Dicer expression levels in liver metastases of colorectal cancer were decreased compared either normal mucosa or the primary tumour in both colon and rectal cancers (46). It appears that Dicer plays more important roles in the metastatic process of cancer. The discrepancies of Dicer1 expression levels in different cancers require further exploration. Mutations in the Dicer1 gene have been shown to be a common process underlying the different cancers. Hemizygous deletions of Dicer1 accelerate tumour formation (47). The inconsistent results may be partly due to the different function of wild-type Dicer1 and mutant Dicer1, although this hypothesis has not been verified.

Although several miRNAs are upregulated in specific tumours, a global reduction of miRNA abundance appears to be a general trait of human cancers (3,4). The present work demonstrated that miR-18a expression was associated with NPC growth and metastasis. Interestingly, miR-18a expression was substantially higher in ERα-negative than in ERα-positive breast cancer samples (P < 0.0001 (48)). Certain miRNAs, such as miR-222/221 and miR-29a, are dramatically higher in ESR1– cells (100- and 16-fold higher, respectively). miR-222/221 (which target ESR1 itself) and miR-29a are predicted to target the 3’ UTR of Dicer1. Addition of these miRNAs to ESR1– cells reduces Dicer protein expression levels, whereas inhibition of miR-222 in ESR1– cells increases Dicer protein levels (49). It is possible that these upregulated miRNAs exert a cooperative function, mostly likely through Dicer.

In this study, miR-18a was able to promote cell growth and mobility, at least in part through targeting Dicer. The promoting effects could be reversed by the over-expression of Dicer. The immunohistochemistry and hybridization in situ strongly indicated the inverse correlation of Dicer expression and miR-18a expression. The clinical correlation analysis also confirmed the miR-18a was strongly indicative of poor prognoses and metastases.

Supplementary material

Supplementary Table 1 and Figures 1–3 can be found at http://carcin.oxfordjournals.org/.
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