Abnormal cortical thickness in heroin-dependent individuals
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Abstract

Accumulating evidence from brain structural imaging studies on heroin dependence has supported links between brain morphological alterations and heroin exposure, particularly in gray matter volume or gray matter density. However, the effects of heroin exposure on cortical thickness and the relationship between cortical thickness and heroin addiction are not yet known. In this study, we acquired 3D high-resolution brain structural magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) data from 18 heroin-dependent individuals (HDIs) and 15 healthy controls (HCs). Using FreeSurfer, we detected abnormalities in cortical thickness in the HDIs. Based on a vertex-wise analysis, the HDIs showed significantly decreased cortical thickness in the bilateral superior frontal, left caudal middle frontal, right superior temporal, and right insular regions compared to the HCs but significantly increased cortical thickness in the left superior parietal, bilateral lingual, left temporal pole, right inferior parietal, right lateral occipital, and right cuneus regions. To supplement these results, a subsequent ROI-wise analysis was performed and showed decreased cortical thickness in the left superior frontal sulcus, left precuneus gyrus, left calcarine sulcus, left anterior transverse collateral sulcus, and the right medial occipital–temporal and lingual sulcus. These regions partially overlapped with the areas identified using the vertex-wise analysis. In addition, we found that the thickness in the right superior frontal and insular regions was negatively correlated with the duration of heroin use. These results provide compelling evidence for cortical abnormality in HDIs and also suggest that the duration of heroin use may be a critical factor associated with the brain alteration.

© 2013 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Drug addiction is characterized by a compulsion to seek and take the drug, a loss of control in limiting its intake, and the emergence of a negative emotional state (e.g., dysphoria, anxiety, and irritability) when access to the drug is prevented (Goldstein and Volkow, 2002). Previous studies showed that drug addiction can lead to changes in brain structure (Daumann et al., 2011; Ersche et al., 2012; H. Liu et al., 2009; Lyoo et al., 2006) and function (Daglish et al., 2003; Hester et al., 2009; Ma et al., 2010). Out of all the addicting drugs, heroin addiction poses one of the most major threats to the public health and social security of China (Tang et al., 2006). Therefore, the relationship between heroin abuse and brain structure needs to be thoroughly studied.

The morphology of cortical gray matter is commonly assessed using T1-weighted MRI with automated computerized methods such as voxel-based morphometry (VBM) and surface-based morphometry (SBM). Most previous studies in this field have analyzed regional alterations in the brain gray matter volume or density in heroin users in an apparent response to heroin use (H. Liu et al., 2008; Lyoo et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2012; Yuan et al., 2009, 2010b). For example, H. Liu et al. (2009) found reductions in brain gray matter volume in the prefrontal cortex (PFC), supplementary motor cortex (SMC), and cingulate cortices in heroin-dependent individuals (HDIs) compared to controls. Yuan et al. (2009, 2010b) showed heroin-related decreased gray matter volume in the PFC, insular (INS), anterior cingulate (ACC) and temporal regions and also found that the gray matter volume in these areas was negatively correlated with the duration of heroin use in HDIs. Wang et al. (2012) studied abstinent heroin users and reported decreased gray matter volume in the frontal, cingulate, and occipital regions.

However, VBM is especially susceptible to the degree of smoothing, the strategies used in registration, and the choice of a normalization template (Bookstein, 2001; Jones et al., 2005). In addition, VBM analysis may provide a mixed measure of gray matter alteration combined with abnormalities in cortical thickness, cortical surface area, and cortical
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folding (Hester et al., 2009; Voets et al., 2008). These factors have the potential to reduce the sensitivity of this method for detecting significant effects of brain structural abnormalities in diseased brains. Thus, SBM was proposed to assess brain structural changes, especially cortical thickness (Voets et al., 2008). SBM, which unlike VBM considers the whole brain gray matter, derives morphometric measures from geometric models of the cortical surface. Cortical thickness has been shown to be sensitive to normal aging (Hutton et al., 2009; Tannnes et al., 2010), intelligence (Choi et al., 2008; Shaw et al., 2006), cognitive performance (Dickerson et al., 2008), mental disorders, and pathological changes (Almeida et al., 2010; Habets et al., 2011), and seems to be capable of reflecting the architecture of the cerebral column (Pontious et al., 2008). Hutton et al. (2009) has shown that cortical thickness could provide a more sensitive measure of age-associated decline compared to the gray matter volume measure typically used in VBM studies. The Human Connectome Project (HCP) (Glasser et al., 2013; Van Essen et al., 2013) also introduced the term ‘grayordinate’ that represents gray matter in a way that respects its natural geometry by defining surface vertices for the cerebral cortex and voxels for the subcortical gray matter. SBM makes it possible to subdivide the gray matter volume into cortical thickness and cortical area. These two factors are likely to yield more precise information about underlying disease mechanisms (Hutton et al., 2009; Sowell et al., 2004) and may aid in understanding the neurophysiopathology of drug-related brains.

Cortical thickness might be a more appropriate measure than gray matter volume when trying to assess morphologic abnormalities in brain structure (Clarkson et al., 2011; Hutton et al., 2009; Klein et al., 2010; Lusebrink et al., 2013). Several previous studies used vertex-wise SBM to analyze cortical thickness and found abnormalities in the brain structures of a variety of substance users, such as smokers (Kuhn et al., 2010; Makris et al., 2008), alcohol users (Yang et al., 2012), marijuana users (Lopez-Larson et al., 2011), and amphetamine-type stimulant users (Koester et al., 2012; Lawyer et al., 2010). In addition, based on the Destrieux cortical atlas (Destrieux et al., 2010; Fischl et al., 2004), which uses gyral and sulcal structures to parcellate each cortical hemisphere into 74 regions of interest (ROI), several studies have used ROI-wise SBM analysis to detect abnormalities in regional brain morphometry related to long term motor training in healthy subjects (Palaniyappan and Liddle, 2012), memory rehabilitation after traumatic brain injury (Strangman et al., 2010), socioeconomic status on children’s brain structure (Jednorog et al., 2012), patients with migraine (Messina et al., 2013), and human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) patients (Kallianpur et al., 2012). However, to the best of our knowledge, very few studies have explored cortical thickness abnormalities in HDIs, except that Walhovd et al. (2007) reported cortical thickness alterations in the children who were exposed to opiate and other substances in utero.

In order to understand the neurobiological basis of drug addiction, researchers have begun to explore the effects of drug use on brain structure and function (Goldstein and Volkow, 2011; Goldstein et al., 2009; Naqvi and Bechara, 2010; Volkov et al., 2013). Neuroimaging studies have indicated a key involvement of the PFC and INS in cognitive impairments, such as impulsiveness (Lee and Pau, 2002; Lee et al., 2001) and difficulties in executing response suppression tasks (Swann et al., 2002) and performing decision-making tasks (Fu et al., 2008; Lee et al., 2005; Yang et al., 2009), in drug addiction. For example, Lee et al. (2005) found that HDIs took a much shorter time to complete the more demanding part of a task but committed more errors than normal controls. Fu et al. (2008) reported heroin had a negative impact on the function of PFC, ACC, INS, and limbic system and induced an impaired response inhibition function even months into abstinence. Yang et al. (2009) found that heroin users showed a decreased response to neutral cues and an increased response to heroin cues in the prefrontal systems. These findings indicated that dynamic response patterns in the PFC system characterize the impaired brain control functions in heroin users. Using graph theoretical analysis, J. Liu et al. (2009) found dysfunctional connectivity, which may contribute to decreased self-control and impaired inhibition, in the PFC, ACC, and INS in chronic heroin users. Moreover, numerous functional imaging studies have found both PFC and INS activation in other types of substance dependence (Brody et al., 2002; Filbey et al., 2009; Kilts et al., 2001; Naqvi and Bechara, 2009). In a study of heavy cigarette smokers, Brody et al. (2002) reported that the intensity of craving was positive correlated with glucose metabolism in the bilateral INS, DLPCF, and OFC. Kilts et al. (2001) detected increased activation in the left INS and ACC when comparing drug use imagery to neutral imagery in cocaine users. Filbey et al. (2009) demonstrated greater activation in the reward pathway (INS and ACC) in response to a marijuana cue compared to a neutral cue in abstinent marijuana users.

Previous studies also suggested that the duration of heroin use is a critical variable for understanding the effects of heroin on structural and functional abnormalities (Ersche et al., 2006; Qiu et al., 2013; Yuan et al., 2009, 2010a). For example, Ersche et al. (2006) reported that task-related activation of the left orbital frontal cortex (OFC) was associated with the duration of intravenous heroin use. Yuan et al. (2010a) performed a resting state fMRI study in HDIs and found that the functional connectivity between the posterior cingulate/precuneus and the right cerebellum was negative correlated with the duration of heroin addiction. And a recent study by Qiu et al. (2013) showed that the ratio of gray matter volume in the PFC to the total brain gray matter volume was negatively correlated with the duration of heroin use. As to other substance dependence, for example, in the cocaine users, the years of cocaine use was negatively correlated with local gray matter volume in the bilateral middle frontal gyrus (MFG), left superior frontal gyrus (SG), INS, amygdala (AMYG), uncus (UNC), and middle temporal gyrus (MTG) (Barros-Loscertales et al., 2011).

Based on these previous structural and functional studies of addiction, we hypothesized that cortical thickness would be altered in some areas of the brain, especially in the frontal and insular regions (Goldstein and Volkow, 2011; Goldstein et al., 2009; Naqvi and Bechara, 2010), in the heroin users and that the duration of heroin use would be associated with altered cortical thickness. In order to test this hypothesis, we calculated the cortical thickness for the HDI and control groups using vertex-wise and ROI-wise SBM approaches.

Methods

Subjects and data acquisition

Eighteen heroin-dependent individuals (HDIs: aged 27–47 years, mean = 36.11 ± 5.72 years, 1 female, Han Chinese population) were recruited from the Addiction Medicine Division of the Guangdong No. 2 Provincial People’s Hospital. Each of the HDIs was screened using the Structured Clinical Interview (SCID-IV) for the Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition (DSM-IV), to confirm the diagnosis of opiate dependence (Spitzer et al., 1992). Urine tests with a positive finding for heroin use were acquired before enrolling in the treatment program. The HDIs were abstinent for six or seven days at the time of finding for heroin use were acquired before enrolling in the treatment program. The HDIs were abstinent for six or seven days at the time of...
For each subject in the current study, the other exclusion criteria were as follows: no history of neurological illness, no head injury, no diagnosis of either schizophrenia or affective disorder, no abnormality on the MRI scan, no additional substance abuse/dependence diagnosis, and no contraindications for MRI scanning. This study was approved by the Research Ethics Review Board of Institute of Mental Health, the Southern Medical University in Guangzhou, China. Written informed consent was obtained from each subject.

MRI data were acquired on a 1.5 T Philips Achieva Nova Dual MR scanner in the Department of Medical Imaging, Guangdong No. 2 Provincial People’s Hospital. Each subject lay supine with their head snugly fixed by a belt and foam pads. T1-weighted 3D high resolution brain structural images were acquired using a fast field echo (FFE) pulse sequence with repetition time (TR) = 25 ms, echo time (TE) = 4.1 ms, flip angle (FA) = 30°, acquisition matrix = 256 × 256, field of view (FOV) = 230 mm², slice thickness = 1.0 mm, and 160 sagittal slices.

**Data processing**

Cortical thickness was estimated using 3D brain structural images with the FreeSurfer software package (Version 5.0.0, http://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu), a widely documented and automated program for reconstructing brain cortical surfaces (Dale et al., 1999; Fischl et al., 1999a, 1999b). In short, we processed the T1-weighted 3D brain structural images by removing the non-brain tissue, transforming the brain into a Talairach-like space, and performing a segmentation to obtain the brain gray and white matters. The boundary or interface between the brain white and gray matters was tessellated and the topological defects in the gray-white estimate were fixed automatically. After we performed intensity normalization and determined the greatest shift in intensity through cortical surface deformation, we produced a linked mesh surface which was covered by the triangles. We took each point shared by adjacent triangles on the cortical surface as a vertex. For each subject, the entire cortex was visually inspected for any inaccuracies in segmentation and manually corrected if necessary. Cortical thickness was measured as follows: we estimated the shortest distance between a given point on the estimated pial surface and the gray-white matter boundary and the estimated pial surface at each vertex, and then averaged these two values to estimate the cortical thickness. The surface of the gray-white matter boundary was inflated, and between-subject differences in the cortical folding patterns of the gyri and sulci were normalized. The reconstructed brain of each subject was morphed and registered to an average spherical surface using a spherical transformation. A thickness measurement at each vertex on the cortical surface was mapped for each subject on a common spherical coordinate system using a spherical transformation. The normalized cortical thickness was smoothed using a Gaussian kernel with a full-width-half-maximum (FWHM) of 10 mm.

**Statistical analyses**

Two-sample t-tests were performed to assess differences in cortical thickness between the HDIs and the HCs. The left and right hemispheres were analyzed separately. We regressed out the effects of age, nicotine usage, education, and total intracranial volume (TIV). A Monte Carlo simulation cluster analysis with 10,000 iterations and a cluster threshold of $p < 0.05$ was performed to correct for multiple comparisons. Only the surviving cluster is shown in this study.

In order to better understand thickness alterations in the HDIs, we also performed an ROI-wise SBM analysis to supplement the findings obtained by the vertex-wise analysis on the whole cortex. The reason is that the vertex-wise SBM analysis was only performed on the vertex, which does not correspond to specific brain sulco-gyral structures or specific brain functional regions. This may cause difficulties when comparing the results with other similar studies. In the calculation, we adopted the Destrieux atlas, which parcelles the human brain based on the precise localization of 74 gyral or sulcal regions, regions of interest (ROIs), for each of the hemispheres (Destrieux et al., 2010; Destrieux et al., 2010; Fischl et al., 2004), to perform an ROI-wise analysis on the cortical thickness. The details of the alterations in cortical thickness for each ROI in the HDIs are presented in Table S2 in the Supplementary material. Each ROI in the Destrieux atlas contains information about either gyral or sulcal structures in the human brain. For the ROI-wise analysis, we utilized a nonparametric permutation test (10,000 times) and took age, nicotine usage, education, and total intracranial volume (TIV) of the subjects as covariates to determine significant between-group differences in the average thickness for each ROI ($p < 0.05$, uncorrected).

For the ROI-wise SBM analysis, the choice of atlas may affect the results of between-group comparisons in thickness. To check this assumption, we also performed the ROI-wise SBM analysis based on the Desikan–Killiany cortical atlas (Desikan et al., 2006) which subdivides each hemisphere into 34 regions. For all ROIs in the Desikan–Killiany atlas, the detailed thickness alterations in the HDIs are listed in Table S3 (the Supplementary material).

In order to compare the alterations in cortical thickness throughout the whole cortex, we calculated the relative alterations in cortical thickness for a given ROI using the following equation

$$\text{RA} = \left( \text{HDIs} - \text{HCs} \right) / \text{HCs},$$

where HDIs (HCs) represents the group averaged cortical thickness in the HDIs (HCs) group for a given ROI. For each of the 148 ROIs in the Destrieux atlas, we estimated the relative alteration in the cortical thickness in the HDIs compared to the HCs.

**Correlations**

Based on the results of the vertex-wise analysis, we estimated the partial correlations between the cortical thickness of each significant cluster and the duration of heroin use for the HDI participants. The aim was to determine whether structural deficits were related to the duration of heroin use. We first determined significant clusters on a statistical parametric map of the between-group differences in cortical thickness, mapped each of these clusters onto each individual cortical surface and extracted the mean cortical thickness. Then we calculated the partial correlation between the mean cortical thickness of each
significant cluster and the duration of heroin use, the heroin dosage, the methadone dosage while taking age, nicotine usage, education, and total intracranial volume (TIV) as covariates.

Results

Participant characterization

We found no significant differences in age, gender, education, total intracranial volume (TIV), and cigarette smoking between the HDIs and the HCs (Table 1).

Alterations of brain morphometry

Vertex-wise analysis

For the vertex-wise SBM analysis across the whole brain, we found significant alterations in cortical thickness in twelve clusters, 5 increases and 7 decreases, in the HDIs compared to the HCs (p < 0.05, corrected, Table 2). Fig. 1a shows the location of these clusters on the cortical surface and Table 2 lists detailed information of these clusters. The clusters with increased thickness were primarily located in the bilateral superior frontal (SFC.L and SFC.R), right caudal middle frontal (cMFC.R), right insular (INS.R), and right superior temporal (STC.R) regions. The clusters with decreased thickness were primarily located in the bilateral lingual gyrus (LING.L and LING.R), left superior parietal (SPC.L), left temporal pole (TPL.L), right lateral occipital (LOC.R), right inferior parietal (IPCR), and right cuneus (CUN.R) regions.

For each cluster listed in Table 2, we first calculated the mean cortical thickness for each individual, and then estimated the correlations between the mean cortical thickness and the duration of heroin use in the HDIs. The statistical analysis revealed that the mean cortical thickness was significantly negatively correlated with the duration of heroin use in the SFC.R (r = −0.56, p = 0.032) and marginally significantly in the INS.R (r = −0.44, p = 0.065) in the HDIs (Fig. 1b). For illustrative purposes, we also plotted the cortical thickness in the SFC.R and in the INS.R for each of the HCs (Fig. 1b). However, we found no significant correlation between the mean cortical thickness and the duration of heroin use in the other clusters listed in Table 2.

Unfortunately, we found no significant correlations between the heroin dosage and thickness as well as between the methadone dosage and thickness at the identified abnormal regions in HDIs.

ROI-wise analysis

Fig. 2 shows a plot of the cortical regions that showed significant between-group differences in cortical thickness according to the ROI-wise SBM analysis. In nine of the 148 regions in the Destrieux atlas, we found that the cortical thickness was uniformly significantly decreased in the HDIs compared to the HCs (Table 3). The areas of significantly decreased cortical thickness were found in the left precuneus gyrus, left calcarine sulcus, left inferior circular insular sulcus, left superior frontal sulcus, and the right medial occipital–temporal and lingual sulcus in the HDIs, findings which were consistent with the ones derived from the vertex-wise SBM analysis. In addition, the ROI-wise SBM analyses also revealed significantly decreased cortical thickness in the bilateral anterior transverse collateral sulcus, left inferior temporal sulcus, and right orbital sulci in the HDIs compared to the HCs.

We overlapped the abnormal cortical regions in thickness detected from both the vertex-wise and ROI-wise (Destrieux atlas) analyses and presented the results in Fig. 3. Both of the SBM analyses revealed that, compared to the HCs, the HDIs showed reduced cortical thickness in the left superior frontal sulcus, left precuneus gyrus, left calcarine sulcus, left anterior transverse collateral sulcus, and the right medial occipital–temporal and lingual sulcus. For each ROI showing significant between-group differences (Table 3), we also performed a statistical correlation analysis. Unfortunately, we found no significant correlations between the group averaged cortical thickness and the duration of heroin use for any of the regions listed in Table 3.

Fig. 4 shows a plot of the relative differences in cortical thickness in the HDIs compared to the HCs according to Eq. (1), based on the Destrieux cortical atlas. The positive (negative) value of the relative alteration indicates an increase (decrease) in thickness for a given ROI in the HDIs compared to the HCs. For the 148 regions defined in the Destrieux cortical atlas, we found that the greatest differences in cortical thickness in the HDIs were primarily located in the frontal, and in the occipital lobes. Similarly, we performed ROI-wise SBM analysis based on the Desikan–Killiany cortical atlas. The relative alteration in thickness in the HDIs compared to the HCs is presented in Fig. S1 in the supplemental material. The absolute alterations in thickness in the HDIs are listed in Tables S2 and S3 in the supplemental material, respectively, for the Destrieux and Desikan–Killiany cortical atlases.

Discussion

Using two surface-based SBM (vertex- and ROI-wise) approaches, we explored differences in cortical thickness between a group of heroin users and a group of healthy controls. The vertex-wise SBM analysis indicated that compared to the HCs, the HDIs showed significantly decreased cortical thickness in clusters primarily located in the anterior portions of the brain, including several clusters in the frontal and temporal cortices as well as in the INS but significantly increased cortical thickness primarily in the posterior portions of the brain, in the parietal, lingual, cuneus and occipital cortices (Fig. 1). We also found that the cortical thickness in the right superior frontal and the INS was negatively correlated with the duration of heroin use. Similarly, the ROI-wise SBM analysis, which was performed using the Destrieux cortical atlas, detected significantly reduced cortical thickness in the

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>Cluster</th>
<th>Change in cortical thickness in HDIs</th>
<th>Cluster size (mm²)</th>
<th>STD of thickness in HDIs</th>
<th>Talairach coordinates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x         y         z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>Caudal middle frontal, precentral</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>1859.1</td>
<td>0.288     30.8      9.3     53.6     0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>C2</td>
<td>Superior frontal</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>1419.2</td>
<td>0.258     11.2      10.6     61.7     0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>C3</td>
<td>Superior parietal, precuneus</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>2046.3</td>
<td>0.357     16.2      66.7     59.2     0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>C4</td>
<td>Lingual, pericalcarine</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>1769.5</td>
<td>0.136     16.7      45.4     3.9     0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.10</td>
<td>C5</td>
<td>Temporal pole, entorhinal</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>1088.2</td>
<td>0.377     13.1      13.0     11.8     0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.11</td>
<td>RH C6</td>
<td>Insula, post-,pre-central</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>1733.6</td>
<td>0.316     37.1      13.0     11.8     0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.12</td>
<td>C7</td>
<td>Superior frontal, anterior/posterior cingulate</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>1711.2</td>
<td>0.304     13.8      22.4     30.5     0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.13</td>
<td>C8</td>
<td>Superior/middle temporal</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>1323.3</td>
<td>0.161     62.5      16.0     0.1     0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.14</td>
<td>C9</td>
<td>Lateral occipital</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>1882.9</td>
<td>0.326     35.0      84.6     7.4     0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.15</td>
<td>C10</td>
<td>Inferior parietal</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>912.7</td>
<td>0.197     43.2      53.6     42.5     0.0015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.16</td>
<td>C11</td>
<td>Cuneus, lateral occipital</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>845.4</td>
<td>0.296     8.5       84.1     19.4     0.0029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.17</td>
<td>C12</td>
<td>Lingual</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>728.5</td>
<td>0.292     23.4      54.1     6.7     0.0087</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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frontal, precuneus, calcarine, insular and occipital–temporal and lingual regions in the HDIs compared to the HCs.

**Alterations in brain morphometry**

**Vertex-wise analysis**

We found significantly decreased cortical thickness in five clusters in the bilateral superior frontal (SFC), left caudal middle frontal (cMFC.L), right insular (INS.R), and right superior temporal (STC.R) regions in the HDIs compared to the HCs (Fig. 1a and Table 2). The SFC (extending to the ACC) and cMFC are located in the PFC. The PFC belongs to the cognitive control circuit which is one of four interdependent and overlapping circuits (a. reward: nucleus accumbens and ventral pallidum; b. memory and learning: AMYG and hippocampus; c. motivation: OFC; d. cognitive control: PFC and ACC) (Baler and Volkow, 2006). The findings of abnormalities in the PFC could be helpful for understanding the neural mechanisms of addiction (Hyman et al., 2006; Kalivas and Volkow, 2005).

The PFC regulates the limbic reward circuit and is involved in higher-order cognitive function, including decision (Glascher et al., 2012; Walton et al., 2004), execution (McNamee et al., 2013), and attention (Asplund et al., 2010; Benoit et al., 2012; Squire et al., 2013). Previous neuroimaging and lesion studies in the human brain have revealed that disruption in the PFC can lead to either compulsive drug taking or disadvantageous behaviors associated with drug addiction (McGuire and Botvinick, 2010; Volkow and Li, 2004). What is more, because impairments in the PFC and ACC are associated with compulsive behaviors and impulsivity, dopamine’s impaired modulation of these regions is likely to contribute to the compulsive and impulsive drug intake seen in addiction (Volkow and Fowler, 2000). Several fMRI studies indicated that the impairment in cognitive function and the degree of impairment in heroin addicts were related to changes in the activation of the prefrontal-cingulate network (Galynker et al., 2007; Lee et al., 2005; Xiao et al., 2006). Brain morphological studies also demonstrated structural abnormalities in the frontal cortex of drug users (Franklin et al., 2002; Kuhn et al., 2010; H. Liu et al., 2009; Lopez-Larson et al., 2011; Lyoo et al., 2006). Using VBM, Lyoo et al. (2006) reported that the gray matter volume in the PFC of opiate-dependent subjects was significantly decreased compared to healthy controls and suggested that this may be associated with behavioral and neuropsychological dysfunction in drug users. H. Liu et al. (2009) found significantly decreased gray matter volume in the PFC of heroin users compared to controls. Kuhn et al. (2010) and Lopez-Larson et al. (2011) detected significant decreases in cortical thickness in the PFC in smokers and marijuana users, respectively. Combined with these previous studies, our finding indicates that the frontal cortex is vulnerable to heroin addiction.

In this study, we also detected reductions in cortical thickness in the INS.R of the HDIs (Fig. 1a and Table 2), a finding which was in line with previous studies (Daglish et al., 2003; Hester et al., 2009; Mechtcheriakov et al., 2007). Daglish et al. (2003) studied opiate users while they were exposed to environmental cues and found abnormal brain activation in the INS. Hester et al. (2009) performed a Go/No-Go
response inhibition task study in chronic cannabis users and found
abnormal insular activity, suggesting that the INS is a key region related
to the cognitive dysfunction and behavioral deficits that maintain drug
abuse. Lopez-Larson et al. (2011) found significantly decreased cortical
thickness of the INS in adolescent marijuana users. Several studies
(Contreras et al., 2007; Craig, 2009; Garavan, 2010; Menon and Uddin,
2010; Paulus et al., 2009) suggested that the INS plays a major role in
the interoception and self-awareness that are usually related to emotion
or the sensing and regulation of body states. A meta-analysis (Chang
et al., 2013) also suggested that the INS can provide an interface
between feelings, cognition, and action. Thus, the decreased cortical
thickness in the INS may relate to a reduction in the subjects’ ability to
accurately perceive inner subjective feeling states, leading to increased
impulsivity in drug use (Critchley et al., 2004).

Based on these descriptions of functional disruption in these two
regions, inter-regional connections between the frontal cortex and the
INS seem to constitute an important frontal–limbic network that is
responsible for normal function of the control system, and plays a part
in the conscious appreciation of pleasure (Clark et al., 2008; Mesulam,
2000).

In addition, drug use can trigger the release of dopamine, modulate
the reward system, and reinforce the effects of drugs (Everitt and
Robbins, 2005; Robbins and Everitt, 1999). The core clinical symptoms
of drug dependence include intoxication, bingeing, withdrawal, and
craving (Goldstein and Volkow, 2011). As the brain adapts to the drugs,
areas responsible for judgment, learning, emotion, and memory are
affected. Moreover, drug seeking behavior indicates a change from
voluntary drug use to more habitual and compulsive drug use (Naqi
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**Table 3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Brain regions</th>
<th>Absolute change in HDIs (mm)</th>
<th>Cluster size (mm²)</th>
<th>STD of thickness in HDIs</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LH</td>
<td>Precuneus gyrus</td>
<td>−0.214</td>
<td>2095.7</td>
<td>0.251</td>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R1</td>
<td>Calcarine sulcus</td>
<td>−0.127</td>
<td>1309.8</td>
<td>0.131</td>
<td>0.036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R2</td>
<td>Inferior circular sulcus of insula</td>
<td>−0.226</td>
<td>1171.4</td>
<td>0.353</td>
<td>0.043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R3</td>
<td>Superior frontal sulcus</td>
<td>−0.148</td>
<td>2216.8</td>
<td>0.307</td>
<td>0.048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R4</td>
<td>Inferior temporal sulcus</td>
<td>−0.176</td>
<td>1461.2</td>
<td>0.317</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R5</td>
<td>Anterior transverse collateral sulcus</td>
<td>−0.240</td>
<td>749.1</td>
<td>0.233</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R6</td>
<td>Anterior transverse collateral sulcus</td>
<td>−0.274</td>
<td>832.4</td>
<td>0.214</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R7</td>
<td>Medial occipitotemporal and lingual sulcus</td>
<td>−0.122</td>
<td>1485.3</td>
<td>0.111</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R8</td>
<td>Orbital sulci</td>
<td>−0.208</td>
<td>1274.9</td>
<td>0.311</td>
<td>0.035</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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and Bechara, 2009). Everitt and Robbins (2005) proposed that this change may represent a transition in the control over drug seeking and drug taking behavior at the neural level from the PFC to the striatum. Regions such as the vmPFC and AMYG have also been implicated in processing the reward value of various stimuli, including interoceptive stimuli (Naqvi and Bechara, 2009; Naqvi et al., 2007). Thus, our findings of

Fig. 3. Areas of intersection (blue) representing abnormalities in the cortical thickness of the heroin-dependent individuals (HDIs) compared to the healthy controls (HCs) detected by using two different surface-wise morphometry (SBM) analyses: vertex-wise SBM and ROI-wise SBM analysis. The red (green) contour represents clusters with significantly increased (decreased) cortical thickness resulting from the vertex-wise SBM analysis (see Table 2). The yellow contour represents regions with significantly decreased cortical thickness resulting from the ROI-wise SBM analysis based on the Destrieux cortical atlas (see Table 3).

Fig. 4. Relative changes in average cortical thickness in the heroin-dependent individuals (HDIs) compared to the healthy controls (HCs). The regions coded in warm (cold) colors indicate decreases (increases) in cortical thickness in the HDIs compared to the HCs. The analysis was based on every region of the Destrieux cortical atlas. LH (RH), left (right) hemisphere. The relative alteration of critical thickness for a given ROI was estimated by RA = (HDIs − HCs)/HCs, in which the term HDIs (HCs) represents the group averaged cortical thickness in the HDIs (HCs) group for a given ROI.
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Comparison of drug addiction-related alterations in brain structure obtained with voxel-based morphometry (VBM) and surface-based morphometry (SBM) analyses. The text highlighted (unhighlighted) in gray indicates significant increases (decreases) in gray matter volume or cortical thickness in the drug addicts compared to the controls.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Regions significantly different from controls</th>
<th>Refs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Alcohol</td>
<td>VBM</td>
<td>PreCG, MFG, INS, dHIP, THA, cerebellum</td>
<td>Mechtcheriakov et al. (2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Alcohol</td>
<td>VBM</td>
<td>THA</td>
<td>Reid et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Alcohol</td>
<td>SBM</td>
<td>IFC, STC, ITC, LOC, PCAL, PoCG, MTC.R, IPC.L, LING.R</td>
<td>Yang et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Cocaine</td>
<td>VBM</td>
<td>AVI, vmOFC, ACC.R, STC</td>
<td>Franklin et al. (2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Cocaine</td>
<td>VBM</td>
<td>ACC, mOFC.R, J0FC.R, PFC.R</td>
<td>Mat ochik et al. (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Cocaine</td>
<td>VBM</td>
<td>PFC, cerebellum.R</td>
<td>Brody et al. (2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Cocaine</td>
<td>VBM</td>
<td>OFC.R, STC.R, ITG, THAL.R, cerebellum</td>
<td>Sim et al. (2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Cocaine</td>
<td>VBM</td>
<td>Striatum, SMG</td>
<td>Barros-Loscertales et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Cocaine</td>
<td>VBM</td>
<td>OFC, INS, MFC, ACC, TPC, cerebellum</td>
<td>Ersche et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Cocaine</td>
<td>VBM</td>
<td>mFCL, CC, IFG.R, CAU, MTC.L, ITCL, INS.R, AMYG.L, HIP.L</td>
<td>Moreno-Lopez et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Cocaine</td>
<td>VBM</td>
<td>DLPFC, ACC, INS, TCC, cerebellum</td>
<td>Weller et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Cocaine</td>
<td>VBM</td>
<td>OFC, DLPFC, HIP, temporal, PHG.</td>
<td>Alia-Klein et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Cocaine</td>
<td>VBM</td>
<td>STC, INS</td>
<td>Ersche et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Cocaine</td>
<td>SBM</td>
<td>mOFC.L</td>
<td>Kuhn et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Ketamine</td>
<td>VBM</td>
<td>SFCL, MFC.R</td>
<td>Liao et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Marijuana</td>
<td>VBM</td>
<td>PHG.R</td>
<td>Mat ochik et al. (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Marijuana</td>
<td>SBM</td>
<td>PreCG, THA.R</td>
<td>Lopez-Larson et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Methamphetamine</td>
<td>VBM</td>
<td>MFC.R</td>
<td>Kim et al. (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Methamphetamine</td>
<td>VBM</td>
<td>SFCL, PreCG.L, PUT, ISOC.R</td>
<td>Jan et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Methamphetamine</td>
<td>VBM</td>
<td>INS, MFC.L</td>
<td>Schwartz et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Amphetamine &amp; Alcohol</td>
<td>SBM</td>
<td>SFG.R, PreCG.L</td>
<td>Lawyer et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
abnormal cortical thickness in both the PFC and the INS may be responsible for the failure of self-control and the compulsiveness and habituation of drug-taking in HDIs.

We also observed significantly decreased cortical thickness in the SFC, cMFC, L, STC, R, INS. R (see Table 4 for details).

In addition to this significantly decreased cortical thickness, we found significantly increased cortical thickness in seven clusters, three in the left hemisphere and four in the right hemisphere, in the HDIs compared to the HC s (Fig. 1a and Table 2). These regions included the bilateral lingual (LING), left superior parietal (SPCL), left temporal pole (TLP), right cuneus (CUNR), right inferior parietal (IPCR), and right lateral occipital (LORC) regions, which are primarily located in the posterior regions of the brain. These results were fairly consistent with previous studies. Lopez-Larson et al. (2011) investigated brain structural alterations in marijuana users and found significantly increased cortical thickness in the LING, STC, SPC, IPC, and paracentral regions. In an fMRI experimental study of active and abstinent marijuana users, Chang et al. (2006) detected greater activation in various frontal, parietal and occipital regions of both active and abstinent marijuana users compared to controls during visual-attention tasks and suggested that the drug-related greater activation may indicate the presence of neuroadaptive processes in the brains of drug users. Previous studies have also reported dysfunction of the parietal lobe in heroin users during the resting state. We noticed that the conclusions seem controversial (Danos et al., 1998; Pezawas et al., 2002), Pezawas et al. (2002) reported dysfunction of the parietal lobe with a significant increase in rCBF in heroin users during the resting state, while Danos et al. (1998) found that some of their patients showed hypoperfusion in the parietal lobe. As for the CUN, a previous study suggested that it serves as visual processing and inhibitory control (Haldane et al., 2008) and its abnormality relates to neuroadaptation or possible compensatory changes. The finding of increased thickness in the CUN in this study may reflect that the cuneus compensates the damaged self-control to a certain extent.

From the information presented in Table 4, we also noticed that several clusters in the parietal, occipital, and lingual regions changed directions (Gallinat et al., 2006; Lopez-Larson et al., 2011) in different

Table 4 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Regions significantly different from controls</th>
<th>Refs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20 experienced users (EU)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(26.6 ± 7.17 years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>42 low exposure users (LEU)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(23.5 ± 5.27 years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16 controls (26.3 ± 4.11 years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Amphetamine-type stimulant</td>
<td>SBM</td>
<td>EU vs LEU: SFC.L, EU vs HC: SFC, PoCG.R</td>
<td>Koester et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20 experienced users (EU)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(26.6 ± 7.17 years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>42 low exposure users (LEU)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(23.5 ± 5.27 years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16 controls (26.3 ± 4.11 years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Absent abstinence or smoking</td>
<td>VBM</td>
<td>mOFC.R</td>
<td>Tanabe et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19 users (age: 33 ± 11 years), 20 controls (age: 35 ± 7 years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Opiate</td>
<td>VBM</td>
<td>SFC, MFC, UNCR, INS, IFC.R, FGFL, STC</td>
<td>Lyoo et al. (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>63 users (38.4 ± 9.4 years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>46 controls (38.4 ± 8.6 years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30 users (25.0 ± 2.4 years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>34 controls (23.97 ± 2.69 years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15 users (30.47 ± 6.17 years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15 controls (30.53 ± 6.70 years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18 users (36.11 ± 5.72 years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15 controls (36.80 ± 8.53 years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Abbreviations: L (R)—the left (right) hemisphere; ACC—anterior cingulate cortex; AG—angular gyrus; AMYG—amygdala; AVI—anterioventral insular; BA—Brodman’s areas; CAU—caudate; CC—cingulate cortex; CMFC—caudal middle frontal cortex; CUN—cuneus; DHIIP—dorsal hippocampus; FPC—fusiform; HIP—hippocampus; MTC—middle temporal cortex; INS—insula; IPC—inferior parietal cortex; ILL—inferior semilunar lobule; ITC—inferior temporal cortex; LFC—lateral frontal cortex; MFC—middle frontal cortex; OFC—orbitofrontal cortex; OpfC—orbitofrontal cortex; ISOC—lateral superior occipital cortex; mOFC—medial orbitofrontal cortex; vmOFC—ventromedial orbitofrontal cortex; PAC—paracingulate cortex; PreCG—precentral; PCL—paracalcarine; PCC—posterior cingulate cortex; PFC—prefrontal cortex; PHG—parahippocampus; PoCG—postcentral; PUT—putamen; REC—rectus gyrus; RC —superior frontal cortex; SPC—superior parietal cortex; STC—superior temporal cortex; SMG—supramarginal gyrus; THA—thalamus; TPL—temporal pole; TPC—temporoparietal cortex; UNC—uncus).
studies. The reasons for these inconsistent results, in which different drug addiction groups either increased or decreased in their brain structural thickness, may be manifold and need to be further investigated.

ROI-wise analysis

Using an ROI-wise SBM analysis, we detected uniformly significantly decreased cortical thickness in nine regions in the HDIs compared to the HCs (Fig. 2 and Table 3). This analysis was based on the Destrieux cortical atlas which defines ROIs based on the gyri and sulci of the human brain. Both the ROI-wise and the vertex-wise SBM analyses revealed significant decreases in cortical thickness in the left superior frontal sulcus, left precentral gyrus, left calcarine sulcus, left inferior circular insular sulcus, and the right medial occipital–temporal and lingual sulcus (Tables 2 and 3, Figs. 1 and 2). Our findings of decreased cortical thickness in the SFC and INS using either a vertex-wise analysis or an ROI-wise analysis may indicate that the SFC and INS are vulnerable to heroin dependence.

Unfortunately, we noticed two discrepancies in our findings (Tables 2 and 3, Figs. 1–3) when comparing the vertex-wise results with the ROI-wise results. One discrepancy is the location of areas of altered thickness. As shown in Fig. 3, we found that some clusters derived from the vertex-wise analysis did not correspond to the regions derived from the ROI-wise analysis, and the regions identified with the ROI-wise analysis, such as the left inferior temporal sulcus, right orbital sulci, and bilateral anterior transverse collateral sulcus, did not show up in the vertex-wise analysis (Fig. 3). This apparent inconsistency in the locations of altered thickness between the vertex- and the ROI-wise SBM analyses may have occurred because the clusters determined from the vertex-wise analysis did not overlap physically with the regions in the Destrieux atlas, which was used in the ROI-wise analysis. The other discrepancy is the direction of the alterations in cortical thickness in the HDIs compared to the HCs. As seen in Table 3, we found uniformly significantly reduced thickness in 9 ROIs in the HDIs compared with the controls when using the ROI-wise analysis. However, as shown in Table 2, five clusters decreased and seven clusters increased in thickness in the HDIs compared to the HCs according to the results from the voxel-wise analysis. This means that the direction of the thickness alteration detected in the HDIs was less variable using the ROI-wise analysis than using the vertex-wise analysis.

The discrepancy may be explained by checking the procedures of the two SBM analyses. Differences in the procedures used in the vertex-wise and ROI-wise analyses are likely to cause differences in the degree of variability in thickness estimates because of the influence of spatial averaging on thickness estimates (Eyler et al., 2012). For the vertex-wise estimates, the process of spatial averaging is equal to the spatial smoothing which was used in normalizing the cortical surface with a Gaussian kernel (FWHM = 10 mm in our study), and the amount of spatial averaging is fixed. On the other hand, in the ROI-wise analysis, we first averaged the cortical thickness across all the vertices within a region, as defined using a gyral-featured parcellation system, the Destrieux atlas, and then compared the cortical thickness between the two groups. For the ROI-wise estimates, the amount of spatial averaging varied between regions, the ROIs ranged in size from small (the left anterior transverse collateral sulcus, 749 mm²) to large (the left superior frontal sulcus, 2217 mm²) in Table 3. As a result, the variability or inhomogeneity differed as a result of varying region size (Table 3). The difference between the sensitivity of these two SBM analyses to variations in thickness may be important because the boundaries between regions have individual differences, which may have an impact on the thickness measurement in the ROI-wise approach (see Table S2 and Table S3 in the Supplementary material, comparing results derived from the Destrieux Atlas and the Desikan–Killiany Atlas). Generally speaking, the ROI-wise SBM analysis provided a complementary perspective to the findings derived from the vertex-wise SBM analysis. In reality, no gold standard exists to evaluate which method is best, so we combined these two SBM analyses to explore the effects of heroin use on cortical changes in HDIs. Thus, interpretations about how thickness varies from region to region should be made cautiously, keeping in mind the possible effects of spatial averaging and boundary inaccuracy.

Correlation analysis

Correlations between cortical thickness and the duration of heroin use may indicate the influence of heroin use on brain structural alterations. We found that in the SFCR the cortical thickness was significantly negatively correlated with the duration of heroin use and that in the INS.R it was marginally significantly negatively correlated with the duration of heroin use (Fig. 1b). That is, the longer the duration of heroin use, the thinner the cortical thickness in the SFCR and INS.R.

This result is consistent with previous studies (Monterosso et al., 2005; Yuan et al., 2009). Monterosso et al. (2005) performed a stop-signal task study in chronic methamphetamine abuse subjects and found a negative correlation between years of methamphetamine use and performance in the task. Yuan et al. (2009) analyzed alterations in brain structure in HDIs by using an optimized VBM and partial correlation analysis and also found that the gray matter volume in the prefrontal and insular regions negatively correlated with the duration of heroin use. Therefore, the correlations between cortical thickness and duration in the SFCR and INS.R may indicate that the duration of heroin use might be a critical factor associated with abnormalities in these two brain regions.

Limitations

This study has several limitations. First, because this was a cross-sectional study, we detected abnormal cortical thickness in the HDIs and inferred that the abnormalities might be due to heroin consumption. In reality, we cannot conclusively clarify the causal relationship; that is, we cannot be certain whether cortical thickness differences are a consequence of or a cause of heroin use (Ersche et al., 2012). Second, some of the HDIs in the present study also used nicotine (only four HDIs were non-smokers in this study), which may confound the results. Although we took nicotine as a covariate in our analysis, this process cannot remove the possibility that nicotine use had an independent effect on the alterations that we found in the HDIs brain structure. To address this issue, we may need to recruit non-nicotine users in future studies. Third, we cannot exclude the possible effect of methadone use on brain structure, although no significant correlation was found between the methadone dosage and the cortical thickness in this study. Also we could not assess the influence of withdrawal symptoms on the cortical thickness, because we did not quantitatively measure them with specific scales. No doubt, these issues should be considered in the future study. Last but not the least, the sample size in this study was small and the gender composition imbalanced. Although some studies have explored gender differences in the dysfunction of structure and function induced by drug use (McQueney et al., 2011; Nelson-Zlupko et al., 1995; Thatcher et al., 2010), any gender effects on brain structural alterations in the HDIs remains an open question for further study.

Conclusion

In summary, using a surface-based morphometric analysis, we detected alterations in the cortical thickness of the prefrontal cortex (SFC and cMFC) and insula as well as in some regions of the temporal, parietal and occipital cortices in heroin-dependent individuals. These findings were consistent with previous studies that have demonstrated abnormalities in these key brain regions in substance abuse. To the best of our knowledge, this is one of the first studies to evaluate cortical thickness in HDIs compared to non-users. Our findings suggest that abnormal cortical thickness in the prefrontal and insular regions may affect the capacity for self-control and contribute to conscious drug urges that occur in spite of the negative consequences. We also detected...
negative correlations between the duration of heroin use and the average thickness in the right superior frontal and insular regions. These results may add important information to the sparse literature on brain structural alterations in heroin users. Moreover, these findings may also provide implications for clinical treatment that could include finding intervention methods or medical treatments that restore the regions showing abnormal thickness to normal and, in this way, potentially reduce the symptoms of addiction or reduce the severity of addiction.
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