Assessing Cancer Risk in China from $\gamma$-Hexachlorocyclohexane Emitted from Chinese and Indian Sources

Yue Xu,†‡ Chongguo Tian,*† Jianmin Ma,§ Xiaoping Wang,‡ Jun Li,‡ Jianhui Tang,‡ Yingjun Chen,† Wei Qin,† and Gan Zhang‡

†Key Laboratory of Coastal Zone Environmental Processes and Ecological Remediation, Yantai Institute of Coastal Zone Research (YIC), Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS); Shandong Provincial Key Laboratory of Coastal Zone Environmental Processes, YICCAS, Yantai Shandong 264003, P. R. China
‡State Key Laboratory of Organic Geochemistry, Guangzhou Institute of Geochemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Guangzhou, 510640, China
§Air Quality Research Division, Science and Technology Branch, Environment Canada, 4905 Dufferin Street, Toronto, Ontario, M3H 5T4, Canada

ABSTRACT: Three models, including an atmospheric transport model, a multimedia exposure model, and a risk assessment model, were used to assess cancer risk in China caused by $\gamma$-HCH (gamma-hexachlorocyclohexane) emitted from Chinese and Indian sources. Extensive model investigations revealed the contribution of different sources to the cancer risk in China. Cancer risk in Eastern China was primarily attributable to $\gamma$-HCH contamination from Chinese sources, whereas cancer risk in Western China was caused mostly by Indian emissions. The contribution of fresh use of lindane in India to the cancer risk in China was almost 1 order of magnitude higher than that of the reemission of $\gamma$-HCH from Indian soils. Of total population, 58% (about 0.79 billion) residents in China were found to live in the environment with high levels of cancer risk exceeding the acceptable cancer risk of $10^{-6}$, recommended by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA). The cancer risk in China was mostly induced by the local contamination of $\gamma$-HCH emitted from Chinese sources, whereas fresh use of lindane in India will become a significant source of the cancer risk in China if Indian emissions maintain their current levels.

1. INTRODUCTION

Environmental exposure to toxic contaminants has received considerable attention in recent decades because of the significant risk factors in the etiology of several chronic diseases, for example, cancer, cardiovascular disease, chronic respiratory disease, and diabetes. These chronic diseases account for 60% of the deaths worldwide, and 80% of these deaths occur in low- or middle-income countries. In China, the largest developing country in the world, chronic diseases were responsible for 70% of total mortality and 60% of total disease burden in 2002. The Chinese mortality from cancer and cardiovascular disease in 2009 was reported to have a 2-fold increase compared with that in 1990. This inspired a number of studies on the connections between human health risk and exposure levels to toxic contaminants in China. Recently, the health benefits from air pollution control have generated considerable research interest. However, previous reports only considered the effect of trans-provincial transport of air contaminants on human health. Little attention has been paid to the influence of the trans-boundary transport of contaminants on human health.

Persistent organic pollutants (POPs) are hardly broken down in the environment and can undergo long-range atmospheric transport (LRAT). This leads to the presence of POPs not only in their source regions but also in pristine areas far from the sources. Even low environmental levels of these pollutants can pose a considerable threat to human health and the ecosystem because they tend to be accumulated in lipid-rich tissues of biota and biomagnified through terrestrial and aquatic food chains. While human health risk caused by POPs was generally studied on food, human blood, or other tissues on a local range, separately, an integrated regional assessment is still limited.

Indian monsoon provides a route of favorable atmospheric transport from India to China in spring and summer. Higher atmospheric concentration levels of many POPs in India than in China would yield significant deposition of these contaminants to Chinese environment through LRAT. Indian monsoon provides a route of favorable atmospheric transport from India to China in spring and summer. Higher atmospheric concentration levels of many POPs in India than in China would yield significant deposition of these contaminants to Chinese environment through LRAT.
Hexachlorocyclohexane (HCH), listed as a new POP by the Stockholm Convention in 2009, is one of the toxic chemicals extensively used in India and China. Two formulations of HCH, technical HCH and lindane, have been used widely to control agricultural and public health pests, and hence formed most γ-HCH in the environment. Generally, technical HCH contains 8–15% of γ-HCH and lindane is almost pure γ-HCH. Technical HCH was banned globally before 2000, and China and India were the largest producers and users of this pesticide in the world. The restricted use of lindane is still permitted in India due to its low cost for disease control, although the use in China has been stopped since 2003. This enables us to assess the health risk of γ-HCH in China emitted from China and India, the two major sources of this chemical in the world. In general, there were limited regional atmospheric monitoring programs to assess temporal and spatial patterns of γ-HCH in China and India. Relatively abundant data on regional atmospheric concentrations of γ-HCH in China and India were reported during 2005–2007 from several field campaigns. To better evaluate model results using this measured data, the model was run from 2005 to 2007 in this investigation. The present study aims at assessing cancer risk in China induced by γ-HCH emitted from different sources during 2005–2007 using three models which will be described below. The major objectives are (1) to establish a spatial pattern of the cancer risk level across China, (2) to distinguish the contribution of γ-HCH sources in China and India to the cancer risk in China, and (3) to predict the temporal trend of the cancer risk in China emitted from Chinese and Indian sources.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

In the present study, three models, including an atmospheric transport and fate model, a multimedia exposure model, and a risk assessment model, have been used to assess γ-HCH concentrations in the air, soil, water, and food, as well as average daily doses (ADDs) and the cancer risk. A horizontal resolution of 1/4° latitude by 1/4° longitude was adopted in the three models. The atmospheric transport model domain spans 0 to 60°N and 50 to 150°E, covering the entire China and India as shown in Supporting Information (SI) Figure S1. The multimedia exposure model and risk assessment model cover only China.

2.1. Atmospheric Transport Model. γ-HCH concentration levels in the air and soil as well as its atmospheric transport and deposition during 2005–2007 were predicted by a modified version of Canadian Model for Environmental Transport of Organochlorine Pesticides (CanMETOP). The model was originally developed as a regional scale atmospheric transport model covering the North American continent and has been extended to global scale to investigate intercontinental long-range transport of lindane (considered as a product containing pure γ-HCH). The model framework used in this study is the same as that adopted in the global version of CanMETOP but the model domain has been reduced to China/India region (see SI Figure S1) with a grid resolution of 1/4° latitude by 1/4° longitude. Briefly, the CanMETOP is a three-dimensional atmospheric dispersion model coupled with a dynamic, three soil layers, fugacity-based mass balance soil-air exchange model, and a two-film model to estimate water-air gas exchange. In the vertical, a terrain-following coordinate is used and 14 vertical model levels from the surface to 11 km are adopted. The environmental processes included in the model are transport and turbulent diffusion in the atmosphere, dry and wet deposition, and exchange at the interfaces of air-water and soil-air, and removal processes in the air and water via degradation as well as from the soil via diffusion, leaching, and degradation.

The meteorological data (including wind, pressure, temperature, precipitation, etc.) were the 6-hourly objectively analyzed data from the National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) reanalysis. Details can be found at http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/psd/data/gridded/data.ncep.reanalysis.html. The data were then interpolated to the model grids and time intervals (1/4° × 1/4° latitude/longitude and 20-min time step). Soil residue inventory of γ-HCH with 1/4° × 1/4° latitude/longitude in 2005 (see SI Figure S2) was used as initial conditions for the model integration. Since technical HCH and lindane were mainly used as pesticides in Asia, the inventory was created by splitting a global soil inventory with 1° × 1° latitude/longitude using the area ratios of cropland from the gridded land use data. The global inventory was obtained from the historical use of technical HCH and lindane and updated by measured soil data of γ-HCH in China. The global inventory was used to examine intercontinental LRAT of γ-HCH. Since China and India have been identified as major sources of γ-HCH globally, and their external sources only exert a weak influence on the γ-HCH budget in the environment of the model domain, the external sources are not considered here. Initial γ-HCH concentrations in lake and sea were not taken into account due to insignificant γ-HCH reemission from these water bodies across China. The numerical simulations were performed for the period of December 1, 2004 to December 31, 2007 with the first month as the model spin up period. The model spin up period is taken in each simulation to eliminate any effects from the initial conditions. SI Table S1 lists the physicochemical properties of γ-HCH used in the modeling.

2.2. Multimedia Exposure Model. The exposure model took into account 14 possible routes that lead to the accumulation of γ-HCH in the human body. These were ingestion of cereal, vegetable, edible oil, fruit, fish, meat, milk, egg, and drinking water; inhalation through air and airborne particles; and dermal contact with airborne particles, soil, and bath water. Though there are certain amounts of food circulation for resident consumption among regions in China or from foreign import, the exact data are unavailable. Hence, we assumed that the cancer risk at each model grid point resulted from local contamination of γ-HCH in the atmosphere, water, soil, and food. γ-HCH concentrations in the water, plant food, and fish were calculated using a level III fugacity method. Beef, pork, and chicken, which are the prevailing animal foods for Chinese, were considered in the model as meat. Concentrations of γ-HCH in meat, milk, and egg were estimated by assuming the accumulation of γ-HCH in related animals (livestock) in the model. The ADD in receptors through the 14 exposure routes were also estimated in the model. Details are described in section SI2 of SI.

2.3. Risk Assessment Model. The cancer risk is defined to be equal to the product of the ADD and the cancer slope factors, which represent the number of incidences of cancer. For instance, a cancer risk of 10−6, the acceptable cancer risk recommended by the U.S. EPA, corresponds to one incidence of cancer per million people due to the agent being assessed. For every exposure route, the same cancer slope factor of 1.3 (per mg kg−1 day−1) for γ-HCH, recommended by the U.S.
EPA (www.epa.gov/iris/), was adopted. The total cancer risk arising from the 14 exposure routes to environmental contamination of γ-HCH was used to elucidate and assess the health risk of Chinese population.

2.4. Model Evaluation. Increasing γ-HCH air concentrations in China occurred in the autumn, whereas in India the γ-HCH level in air increased during the autumn–winter period. Here we proposed that the seasonal increase of the γ-HCH level in air could be a result of the enhanced reemission from soil due to cropland cultivation during autumn harvest and planting of winter-wheat, or the direct emission owing to lindane use. To address the increase of γ-HCH air concentrations in both countries in cold seasons, several numerical experiments were performed. The modeled atmospheric levels of γ-HCH were compared with measured data to test our hypothesis. The spearman rank correlation method was applied for the assessment since this nonparametric statistical technique is applicable to data with linear or nonlinear trends, and is insensitive to outliers.

The production of lindane was stopped by Chinese manufactures in 2003 due to its low profit. In that year the stock was estimated to be about 100 t in China. This suggests that the increase of γ-HCH air concentration in China in autumn could be a result of the enhanced reemission from soil due to cropland cultivation during autumn harvest and planting of winter-wheat or the direct emission owing to the illegal use of the pesticide. Because of low stock of lindane in China, we postulated that γ-HCH reemission due to cropland cultivation contributed primarily to its changes in the atmosphere. This has been verified by two numerical experiments without and with cropland cultivation (see section S3.1) using the γ-HCH soil residue in 2005.

SI Figure S4 displays the correlations between modeled and measured air concentrations of γ-HCH. Significant correlations between modeled and measured concentrations over the model domain suggest that the soil inventory reflects fairly well the spatial distribution of γ-HCH (see SI Figure S4 a and d). Modeled γ-HCH concentrations in the atmosphere from the numerical experiment considering cropland cultivation matched better with the measured data within China compared with that not accounting for cropland cultivation (see SI Figure S4 b and e and Figure S5). Further assessment was carried out by comparing modeled and measured γ-HCH air concentrations at Ocean University of China (36°3′N, 120°20′E) in Qingdao, China. The model simulation with cropland cultivation in autumn matched well with measured γ-HCH air concentrations after October, which was not caught by the model scenario without cropland cultivation, as shown in SI Figure S6.

The predicted atmospheric concentrations by the numerical experiments without and with cultivation were inconsistent with measured data in India (see SI Figure S4 c and f). The lack of agreement can be attributed to a sharp increase in air concentrations during autumn–winter in India, indicating current widespread and intensive use of lindane in the country. Cropland cultivation intensity and population density were often used as surrogate parameters to build the spatial patterns of the use or emission of POPs. However, we found no significant correlation between spatial patterns of γ-HCH air concentration in India sites (SI Figure S3) and Indian cropland cultivation intensity, as well as human population density with 1/4° × 1/4° latitude/longitude. Thus, we split the time series of the mean γ-HCH air concentration (SI Figure S7) collected from those sampling sites in India (SI Figure S3) into two periods according to the concentration levels, and calculated the statistical values for the two periods (SI Table S5). Based on the simulation with the cropland cultivation as mentioned previously, three model scenarios which add measured mean, maximum, and minimum γ-HCH concentrations (SI Table S5) to the model atmosphere over India were performed in order to simulate primary air emission of lindane in India. Details are presented in SI.

We compared only the results of the three model scenarios with measured γ-HCH concentrations within India. The correlation and statistical results of measured and modeled air concentrations of γ-HCH are showed in SI Figure S8 and Table S6, respectively. The improvements to the modeled air concentrations can be seen for all three model scenarios as compared with that accounting for cropland cultivation. The results from the scenarios adding measured maximum and minimum concentrations in the respective modeling scenarios yielded a better correlation with the measured atmospheric levels (SI Figure S8). The modeled air concentrations from the scenarios adding measured maximum and minimum concentrations were markedly higher and lower than the measured levels (SI Table S6). We then applied the binary linear regression to gain model concentration levels comparable to the measurements in India. It was found that the ensemble results of model scenarios, that are measured maximum × 0.2 + minimum concentrations × 0.8, were better than other model scenario results (see SI Figure S8 and Table S6).

To further establish the level of confidence for introducing the hypothesis of increasing γ-HCH air concentration in China and India during the cold season, the air concentrations simulated by the model scenarios adding measured maximum and minimum concentrations and their ensemble were compared with the measurements over the model region. Results are plotted in SI Figure S9. It was found that the modeled concentrations from the three modeling scenarios matched well with the measured ones. The Spearman correlation coefficients are 0.54 (p < 0.001), 0.55 (p < 0.001), and 0.63 (p < 0.001) for the model scenario adding measured maximum and minimum concentrations and their ensemble, respectively. Accordingly, the median ratios of the air concentrations from the three modeling scenarios to the monitored data are 1.8, 0.5, and 1.0 (SI Figure S10). These higher correlations and median ratios of the modeled to measured data indicated that the three model scenarios significantly enhanced the accuracy of prediction for γ-HCH air concentration, as compared with the results derived from the model scenario considering cropland cultivation (see SI Figure S4, S9, and S10). Given that the ensemble results reflected better the geographical pattern of sampled concentration levels, we can assume that these modeling results provide a more realistic picture of γ-HCH in the Indian environment, whereas the results from the model scenarios adding measured maximum and minimum concentrations possibly reflect upper and lower limits of the γ-HCH atmospheric level in India, respectively. As a result, we considered that the model scenarios adding measured maximum and minimum concentrations and their ensemble featured the impact of maximal, minimal, and moderate primary emission of lindane in India, respectively, on the atmospheric levels of γ-HCH.

2.5. Numerical Experiment Setup. Since the governing equations in the modeling system are linear, the solutions can be linearly combined to determine the contributions from
individual sources through different model scenarios. Five model scenarios were designated to assess the cancer risk derived from different sources of γ-HCH. These scenarios consist of model scenario 1 (M1) which took into consideration soil residues emission of γ-HCH due to cropland soil cultivation activities in autumn; model scenarios 2–4 with moderate (M2), maximal (M3), and minimal (M4) air emission of lindane in India combining with M1; and model scenario 5 (M5) which only considered Chinese sources in the γ-HCH soil residue inventory based on M1. SI Table S7 lists these model scenarios. The cancer risk levels simulated by M2 can provide an insight into an overall picture of the cancer risk in China. The results from M1 and M5 addressed the contribution of the historical soil residues of γ-HCH in the model domain and China, respectively. The results from M3 and M4 were designed to assess the contribution of potential upper and lower limit of the air emission of lindane in India to the cancer risk in China, respectively.

2.6. Uncertainty Analysis. The uncertainty of the cancer risk was estimated by using Monte Carlo simulation. To understand the difference between the uncertainties associated with input model parameters of the CanMETOP model, multimedia exposure model, and risk assessment model, two additional model scenarios were introduced. The first scenario considered the input parameters of the CanMETOP as variables and the other two models input parameters as constants. The other scenario considered all the input parameters of the three models as variables. Each model scenario was run 1000 times repeatedly. For simplicity, total parameters of the three models as variables. Each model scenario was run 1000 times repeatedly. For simplicity, total parameters of the three models as variables. Each model scenario was run 1000 times repeatedly. For simplicity, total parameters of the three models as variables. Each model scenario was run 1000 times repeatedly. For simplicity, total parameters of the three models as variables. Each model scenario was run 1000 times repeatedly. For simplicity, total parameters of the three models as variables. Each model scenario was run 1000 times repeatedly. For simplicity, total parameters of the three models as variables. Each model scenario was run 1000 times repeatedly. For simplicity, total parameters of the three models as variables. Each model scenario was run 1000 times repeatedly. For simplicity, total parameters of the three models as variables. Each model scenario was run 1000 times repeatedly. For simplicity, total parameters of the three models as variables. Each model scenario was run 1000 times repeatedly. For simplicity, total parameters of the three models as variables. Each model scenario was run 1000 times repeatedly. For simplicity, total parameters of the three models as variables. Each model scenario was run 1000 times repeatedly. For simplicity, total parameters of the three models as variables. Each model scenario was run 1000 times repeatedly. For simplicity, total parameters of the three models as variables. Each model scenario was run 1000 times repeatedly. For simplicity, total parameters of the three models as variables. Each model scenario was run 1000 times repeatedly. For simplicity, total parameters of the three models as variables. Each model scenario was run 1000 times repeatedly. For simplicity, total parameters of the three models as variables. Each model scenario was run 1000 times repeatedly. For simplicity, total parameters of the three models as variables. Each model scenario was run 1000 times repeatedly. For simplicity, total parameters of the three models as variables. Each model scenario was run 1000 times repeatedly. For simplicity, total parameters of the three models as variables. Each model scenario was run 1000 times repeatedly. For simplicity, total parameters of the three models as variables. Each model scenario was run 1000 times repeatedly. For simplicity, total parameters of the three models as variables. Each model scenario was run 1000 times repeatedly. For simplicity, total parameters of the three models as variables. Each model scenario was run 1000 times repeatedly. For simplicity, total parameters of the three models as variables. Each model scenario was run 1000 times repeatedly. For simplicity, total parameters of the three models as variables. Each model scenario was run 1000 times repeatedly. For simplicity, total parameters of the three models as variables. Each model scenario was run 1000 times repeatedly. For simplicity, total parameters of the three models as variables. Each model scenario was run 1000 times repeatedly. For simplicity, total parameters of the three models as variables. Each model scenario was run 1000 times repeatedly. For simpl...
samples in these two metropolitan cities in 2005–2007. Using measured food data in 2008, Liu et al. estimated population exposure to \( \gamma \)-HCH in Taiyuan, the capital of Shanxi Province, and obtained an ADD of \( 4.4 \times 10^{-6} \) mg/(kg·d), which is equivalent to a cancer risk of \( 5.7 \times 10^{-6} \). In addition, the model simulation indicated that the LRAT and subsequent deposition also led to the occurrence of the cancer incidence and mortality ranging from \( 1 \times 10^{-9} \) to \( 1 \times 10^{-6} \) in the greatest area of Western China, extending from Yunnan and Tibet to Xinjiang and Inner Mongolia, where no historical use of the pesticide was reported (see SI Figure S2). Substantially low cancer incidence and mortality (\( < 1 \times 10^{-7} \)) were predicted mainly in the desert area of Northwest China. The dry meteorological condition and lower organic carbon content in the soil are mainly responsible for the lower cancer risk level in this area.

To understand the overall risk in densely populated areas, population-weighted cancer risk was calculated as the products of the predicted cancer risk and population density divided by national average population density. The calculated population-weighted cancer risk is presented in Figure 1b. The high population-weighted cancer risk values (\( > 10^{-5} \)) were mostly concentrated in Henan, the province with the highest population in China and those megalopolis in Northeastern China (e.g., Shenyang, Changchun, and Harbin), Eastern China (e.g., Beijing and Tianjin), Southeastern China (e.g., Guangzhou and Fuzhou), and cities in East Sichuan Basin because of spatial overlap of the high cancer risk level and high population density. Such spatial overlap of high environmental exposure to toxic contaminants and high population density seems to be an important cause of chronic diseases that result in primary mortality and disease burden in China.

### 3.3. Contribution of Different Sources to Cancer Risk

Figure 2a presents the percentage of the cancer risk calculated from the cancer risk ratio predicted by the model scenario M5 to that from M2, namely, \( M5/M2 \times 100 \). The figure highlights the contribution of Chinese \( \gamma \)-HCH sources to the cancer risk in China. As shown, the Chinese sources made a major contribution to the cancer risk in Eastern China and Northwestern China (\( > 99\% \)). The decreasing spatial trend from the east to the west is because the \( \gamma \)-HCH-laden air mass from the source regions in Eastern China seldom migrates to Western China (e.g., the Tibetan Plateau) under the prevailing westerly wind over midlatitudes and increasing terrain height toward the Tibetan plateau.

To quantitatively determine the contribution of the \( \gamma \)-HCH emitted from different Indian sources to the cancer risk in China, in Figure 2b and c we illustrate values of \( (M2 − M1)/M2 \times 100 \) and \( (M1 − M5)/M5 \times 100 \), characterizing the cancer risk percentages inferred by LRAT under moderate air emission of lindane and reemission of \( \gamma \)-HCH from India, respectively. Generally, Indian sources contributed to a majority of the cancer risk in Western and Southwestern China. We have demonstrated previously that Indian sources could result in remarkably higher deposition of \( \gamma \)-HCH to Southern China than any other sources in China. The result from the present study further confirms that India is an important source of POPs contamination to the Tibetan plateau and the influence of Indian emissions could extend to midwest Sichuan. In the case of the spatial pattern of the cancer risk, the present modeling results illustrate stronger contribution from the current use of lindane in India to the cancer risk in Western China. The contribution is almost 1 order of magnitude higher than that from the reemission of \( \gamma \)-HCH from previously contaminated soils in India (SI Figure 2 c). The contribution patterns (SI Figure S18) of the potential maximal and minimal air emission of lindane in India, as expected, are similar to that simulated by the moderate air emission of lindane in India, as shown in Figure 2b.

### 3.4. Statistical Cancer Risk

Having discussed the spatial pattern of the cancer risk in China and the contribution from the different sources of \( \gamma \)-HCH, it is also interesting to know human population and geographical area exposed to different levels of the cancer risk, and to examine the contribution of different \( \gamma \)-HCH sources to the population and area exposed to these cancer risk levels. To do so, we analyzed the population and area proportions exposed to different ranges of the estimated cancer risk from three model scenarios of M2, M3, and M5. Results are illustrated in Figure 3. From the M2 simulation, 58% (about 0.79 billion) of the total Chinese population were exposed to the CR \( > 10^{-6} \), exceeding the acceptable cancer risk recommended by the U.S. EPA. Those people reside in only 16% of the total area in China, including the Northern China plain and Sichuan as previously mentioned. The statistical population and area distributions exposed to the cancer risk incurred by the Chinese emission source (M5: only considered Chinese sources) were identical to that simulated by the other two model scenarios (M2 and M3: considering moderate and maximal air emission of lindane in India), suggesting that the human exposure to different cancer risk levels were largely dominated by Chinese \( \gamma \)-HCH emission.
source. The Indian sources only made a minor contribution to the human risk in China.

The accumulated products of the cancer risk and the population within each grid cell in China were divided by the total national population to evaluate the total cancer risk associated with population (TCRP) in China. By comparison of total national population to evaluate the total cancer risk associated with area (TCRA) in China were divided by the total national area, in order to evaluate total cancer risk associated with area (TCRA) in China. As shown, as the contributions of the Indian reemission to the TCRP and TCRA declined monotonically, the contribution from the air emission of lindane within India to the TCRP and TCRA increased from 2005 through 2007. The lindane air emission to the TCRA played a more important role than other factors because the Indian sources contributed mostly to the cancer risk of Western China where there are considerably lower human populations. The increase of the TCRP and TCRA induced by Indian air emission and estimated by \((M2 - M1)/M2 \times 100\) was 0.34% during 2005–2006 and 0.57% during 2006–2007 for TCRA, respectively. In other words, the mean rate of increase for these two cancer risks from 2005 to 2007 are 3.46%/yr for TCRA and 0.47%/yr for TCRP, respectively. If these two rates were constant in the next several decades, it could be predictable that, under the condition of moderate use of lindane within India and taking 2005 as the baseline year, the contributions of the Indian source to the TCRA will increase over 50% from 2019 onward, and the contribution from Indian emission to the TCRP will increase 7% in 2019. This provides good evidence for the necessity of POP regulation to be the most important step to improve the environment and reduce the risk of human health and ecosystem under the Stockholm Convention on POPs. Reduction and termination of the use of POPs will also benefit global efforts to reduce trans-boundary transport; thereby benefiting downstream countries as well.

Using the three models, multiple modeling simulations have been carried out to assess the cancer risk in China subject to \(\gamma\)-HCH primary and secondary emissions from different sources across China and India during 2005–2007. While secondary emissions of \(\gamma\)-HCH from previously contaminated soils in China posed a higher cancer risk in China, the current use of lindane in India also contributed dramatically to the cancer risk in China. The results from this study further confirm significant influence of India \(\gamma\)-HCH emissions on Western China. Given that many POPs with strong LRAT potential were found to have higher air concentrations in India than in China, as reported in previous studies, their influence on human health risk and ecosystem contamination in China should not
be overlooked, particularly in Western China where the atmospheric circulation systems (e.g., Indian monsoon) favor northeastward migration of contaminants from India.
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