The History and Advances of Reversible Terminators Used in New Generations of Sequencing Technology
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Abstract DNA sequencing using reversible terminators, as one sequencing by synthesis strategy, has garnered a great deal of interest due to its popular application in the second-generation high-throughput DNA sequencing technology. In this review, we provided its history of development, classification, and working mechanism of this technology. We also outlined the screening strategies for DNA polymerases to accommodate the reversible terminators as substrates during polymerization; particularly, we introduced the “REAP” method developed by us. At the end of this review, we discussed current limitations of this approach and provided potential solutions to extend its application.

Introduction

Dideoxy sequencing method, developed by Frederick Sanger et al. in 1977, contributed substantially to development of biological sciences in the past several decades [1]. As the core technology, it brought the great successful completion of the Human Genome Project (HGP) [2]. However, high cost and low throughput inherited within the method had limited its application to meet the ever-expanding appetite for large scale genome sequencing projects, including de novo sequencing of more species, whole-genome resequencing, and deep sequencing. Therefore, the second-generation sequencing technology, characterized by its high speed, high throughput, and lower cost, appeared on the scene [3–5].

Since 2005, the second-generation sequencing technologies have experienced a rapid development: different sequencing platforms came to market and data produced by these new technologies mushroomed exponentially. These achievements not only provided large amount of raw data for scientific research, but also uncovered new scientific ideas, and revolutionarily changed our ways of working in life sciences [3–7]. Now, the third-generation sequencers, represented by single molecule real-time sequencer (SMAT) from PacBio [8], are also on the horizon. But due to the relatively high single read error rate (~15%) [9], the second-generation sequencing technologies are still the mainstream in whole-genome sequencing markets.

So far, technologies employed in the second-generation sequencing platforms are of two main types based on sequencing chemistry: “sequencing by synthesis” and “sequencing by ligation” [10,11]. Reversible termination sequencing is one of the sequencing-by-synthesis strategies popularized by Illumina/Solexa due to its wide adoption in the worldwide sec-
Reversible termination sequencing technology was first reported by Dr. Jingyue Ju from Colombia University [13]. In the following sections, we give a brief introduction on the developmental history and current status of this technology, discuss the limitations, and suggest potential solutions of its uses in detail.

The history and classification of reversible termination sequencing technology

Reversible termination sequencing technology was first reported by Dr. Jingyue Ju from Colombia University [13]. The prime difference between this approach and the traditional Sanger sequencing method is that the former uses modified nucleotides analogous to dideoxynucleotides to terminate primer extension reversibly, while the latter employs dideoxynucleotides to irreversibly terminate primer extension (Figure 1) [5].

With the development over the last decade, several reversible terminators were produced. They can be classified into two types based on the difference of the reversible blocking groups [11–23]. One type is 3'-O-blocked reversible terminators. As shown in Figure 1B, the blocking group –OR [reversible terminating (capping) group] is linked to the oxygen atom of the 3'-OH of the pentose, while the florescence label is linked to the base, which acts as a reporter and can be cleaved [12–18]. The other type is 3'-unblocked reversible terminators [19–23] as shown in Figure 1C. In this case, the reversible termination group is linked to the base as well as the florescence group which not only is a reporter but also functions as part of the reversible terminating group for termination of the primer extension. These two types of reversible terminators have their advantages and disadvantages: the 3'-O-blocked reversible terminator contains a 3'-OH blocking group, thus should render better termination effect; the 3'-unblocked reversible terminator, on the other hand, is easier to be accepted by the DNA polymerases due to the lack of a modified moiety at the 3'-OH. Because polymerases have evolved for billions of years to discriminate between ribonucleoside triphosphates and 2'-deoxyribo nucleoside triphosphates, they have evolved to inspect the 2'- and 3'-positions of their substrates closely. For example, although the only difference between dideoxynucleoside and deoxyribonucleoside is the presence or absence of oxygen atom at the 3'-position, accepting a dideoxynucleoside for all known DNA polymerases will prevent further catalytic elongation of additional nucleoside afterward [17,24].

For the first type, there are three commercially-available reversible terminators with a blocking group at 3'-OH (structures shown in Figure 2A–C): the 3'-ONH₂ reversible terminator developed by Dr. Steven A. Benner and his colleagues from Foundation for Applied Molecular Evolution (FAME) [17,18]; the 3'-O-allyl reversible terminator created by Jingyue Ju and his colleagues from Colombia University [5]; the 3'-O-azidomethy reversible terminator developed and used by Illumina Solexa [12]. All three commercial reversible terminators were reported to show good performance in reversible termination function: achieving nearly 100% of 3'-O blocking efficiency and florescent label group cleavage after primer extension termination [12–18].

For the second type, hitherto, there is only one commercial 3'-OH unblocked reversible terminator named “virtual terminator”, which is developed by Helicos BioSciences Corporation (Figure 2D) and employed by the first “single molecule” sequencer to hit the market in the emerging third generation of DNA sequencing platform [19]. In addition, the “Lightning terminator” recently developed by Michael L. Metzker’s group also belongs to 3'-OH unblocked reversible terminator (the lower panel in Figure 2E), and is unique in using UV light to cleave the fluorescent group [20–23].

Table 1 Comparison of four main second-generation sequencing platforms.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Roche/454</th>
<th>Ion-torrent (318)</th>
<th>SOLiD5500</th>
<th>HiSeq2000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Read size (bp)</td>
<td>500–1000</td>
<td>~200</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>100–150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Throughput</td>
<td>700 Mb</td>
<td>1 Gb</td>
<td>180 Gb</td>
<td>600 Gb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time required/run</td>
<td>23 h</td>
<td>2 h</td>
<td>14 days</td>
<td>8 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coverage/dpl h-genome</td>
<td>10x</td>
<td>20x</td>
<td>100x</td>
<td>60x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Run/dpl h-genome</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days/h-genome</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost (USD)/run</td>
<td>7000</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>27,000</td>
<td>22,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost (USD)/h-genome</td>
<td>300,000</td>
<td>240,000</td>
<td>13,500</td>
<td>2400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: dpl stands for diploid.
Working mechanism of reversible termination sequencing technology

Reversible termination sequencing technology is a sequencing-by-synthesis approach [3–5] that infers the sequence of a template by stepwise primer elongation. It is popularized as a second generation sequencing technology on the Illumina platform. The general reversible termination sequencing process involves (i) immobilizing the sequencing templates and primers on a solid support; (ii) primer extension by one base and termination; (iii) recognizing the color of the fluorophore carried by the extended base to identify the incorporated nucleotide after washing away the unincorporated nucleotides; (iv) removal of the fluorescent tag and the 3′-O blocking group; (v) washing again and repeating the aforementioned steps (ii–iv). The whole process can be summarized as extension–termination–cleavage–extension cycle (Figure 3).

Screening proper polymerases for their abilities to accept the reversible terminators

After design and synthesis of a reversible terminator, first we need to find a proper polymerase to accept the nucleotide analog. Due to the specificity of enzyme and the special structures of the reversible terminating nucleotides, it is difficult to get a proper polymerase to accept them with high efficiency and fidelity. Proper polymerases were usually obtained after experimenting potential candidates through primer extension screenings [17,18] (Figure 4).

Based on screening libraries, two approaches are applied to select the proper polymerases for the reversible terminators [17,18]. One simple approach is to screen the polymerases from commercially-available DNA polymerases and reverse transcriptases [18]. If no desired polymerase can be obtained in this screening, another approach can be taken to obtain the proper polymerases from mutation libraries constructed through rational design, “directed evolution” (random mutation), or semi-rational design (combination of rational design with directed evolution) [17].

Many commercially-available DNA polymerases, such as Therminator, Klenow, Bst and 9°Nm DNA polymerases, have been reported to work well with the reversible terminating nucleotides [12,15,18,20]. Jingyue Ju’s group used AmpliTaq DNA polymerase in their sequencing process (Invitrogen) due to its good compatibility to a large fluorescent group at 5′ position of pyrimidine and 7′ position of purine [15]. A mutant 9°Nm DNA polymerase was adopted by Illumina Solexa, but the mutation sites are unknown due to commercial consideration [12]. For the same reason, Helicos did not disclose the DNA polymerase used in their sequencing platform [19]. “Lightning terminator” research group screened eight commercial DNA polymerases, among which Bst DNA polymerase demonstrated the best incorporation efficiency for the “Lightning terminator” [20]. In addition, Steven A. Benner et al. found that some reverse transcriptases showed unexpected compatibility for nucleotide analogs [24].
A kind of semi-rational design strategy, reconstructed evolutionary adaptive path (REAP), developed by us, was proved to be a useful tool to genetically engineer the polymerases to accept the reversible terminator [17]. This strategy is based on the concept of “conserved but different” pattern, introduced by Steven A. Benner et al. more than two decades ago [25,26]. Figure 5 illustrates this concept. Here, sites are identified where the residue has not been absolutely conserved in all proteins in the family, but rather conserved within sub-branches of the family. The fact that the residue is conserved in sub-branches of the family means that it is under relatively strong functional constraints, implying its importance for some aspects of enzymatic function. This, in turn, infers that behavior of the polymerase is likely to change if the residue at the site is modified. The fact the residue is not absolutely conserved, however, means that the site

**Figure 3** Schematic showing sequencing during synthesis using 3'-O blocking reversible terminators. Each triphosphate has its 3'-OH group blocked and a fluorescent tag attached to its nucleobase via a cleavable linker. The blue arrow indicates the residual linker “scar” after cleavage of fluorescent group.

**Figure 4** Screening the proper polymerases compatible with the reversible terminators using primer extension method. \( \gamma^{32}P \)-labeled primer was first annealed with the template, followed by the addition of the reversible terminator. Primer extension was then initiated by adding the candidate polymerases, and evaluated by running the samples on denaturing PAGE gel.

**Figure 5** An evolutionary tree describing the amino acid at a single site in a protein family. The capital letters represent different amino acid residues. Such a “conserved but different” site in a protein family is a target for protein engineering.
can tolerate some degree of variations without destroying the core functionality of the protein, such as folding or catalytic activity. Therefore, such “conserved but different” sites are preferred targets for protein engineering in our REAP method. Several of the variant *Taq* polymerases that accept 3’-ONH₂ reversible terminator have emerged through the application of this strategy [17].

Table 2  Comparison of several commercial reversible terminators.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Terminator</th>
<th>Reads size (bp)</th>
<th>Sequencing platforms</th>
<th>Refs.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3’-O-N₁ reversible terminator</td>
<td>100–150</td>
<td>Illumina/Solexa</td>
<td>[12]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3’-ONH₂ reversible terminator</td>
<td>40–50</td>
<td>Polonator/Intelligent bio-systems</td>
<td>[17]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3’-O-allyl reversible terminator</td>
<td>40–50</td>
<td>Intelligent bio-systems</td>
<td>[5]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virtual terminator</td>
<td>~30</td>
<td>Hilicos</td>
<td>[19]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6  The accumulation of scars in Illumina/Solexa sequencing.
Schematic shows that two Illumina/Solexa reversible terminators (to cleave the fluorescent group and 3’ blocking group from the nucleotides) are added to the 3’-end of a primer in a template-directed extension reaction. The chemical structures in blue denote the molecular scars left behind.

The opportunity and challenge of reversible termination sequencing technology

The great success of Human Genome Project significantly energized the development of more cost-effective sequencing technology [2]. Especially since 2005, the development and
application of next generation sequencing (NGS) technology, characterized by its low cost and high throughput through massively parallel sequencing, displays an unprecedented technological breakthrough in biology, medicine and many related fields. Due to various advantages, reversible termination sequencing technology has been widely accepted and used in multiple NGS platforms, including the most successful NGS, Illumina/Solexa sequencer and the first third-generation single molecule sequencer, Helicos sequencer [3,4,12,19]. This technology uses fluorescent labels as sequencing reporter, which not only affords great sensitivity and sequencing accuracy but also markedly decreases the amount of sample required. In addition, the application of reversible termination strategy effectively solves the problem of accurate identifying homopolymeric runs (such as PolyA) which are difficult to achieve using pyrosequencing technology. It was reported that the reversible termination sequencing could accurately read out more than 18 consecutive A [26,27].

Although “sequencing using cyclic reversible terminator” brings about new opportunities to the development of sequencing techniques, some problems still exist, among which, short read length has always been a major weakness. As the most popular NGS platform, the read length of the Illumina/Solexa sequencing platform is only around 100–150 bp (Tables 1 and 2). Drawbacks of short read length in shot-gun sequencing, especially de novo, are obvious.

One of the fundamental reasons for short read length in “sequencing using cyclic reversible terminator” is that reversible terminator nucleotide analogs developed so far leave behind a vestige (“scar”) after cleavage of the linker carrying the fluorophore. Figure 6 illustrates the accumulation of such scars along the major groove of the DNA duplex after two rounds of sequencing extension on Illumina/Solexa platform. Accompanied by primer extension, such accumulation of scars snowballed, impairing the stability of DNA double-helix structure adversely and, thus, hindering substrate recognition and primer extension. Through adding a certain proportion of reversible terminator nucleotide analogs without the fluorophore, some base incorporations (e.g., Illumina/Solexa) leave no vestige, alleviating the impact of scars accumulation to a certain extent and extending read length. However, in order to overcome this deficiency thoroughly, new solutions are still a matter with great urgency. Recently, Metzker and colleagues made some advancement in this respect [20–23]. In their system, the scar left after cleavage of the linker is very small (Figure 2E), suggesting a potential to completely or at least partially overcome the drawback of short read length in “sequencing using cyclic reversible terminator”.
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